

## Terms of reference for an environmental impact statement

### Terms of reference for the Mungana Gold Open Pit Development EIS

#### Table of Contents

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| BACKGROUND.....  | 3         |
| <b>CONTENT OF THE EIS .....</b>                                  | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>Executive summary .....</b>                                   | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>Glossary of terms .....</b>                                   | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>1 INTRODUCTION .....</b>                                      | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>1.1 Project proponent.....</b>                                | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>1.2 Project description .....</b>                             | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>1.3 Project objectives and scope.....</b>                     | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>1.4 The environmental impact statement (EIS) process.....</b> | <b>4</b>  |
| 1.4.1 Methodology of the EIS .....                               | 4         |
| 1.4.2 Objectives of the EIS .....                                | 5         |
| 1.4.3 Submissions .....  | 5         |
| <b>1.5 Public consultation process .....</b>                     | <b>5</b>  |
| <b>1.6 Project approvals .....</b>                               | <b>6</b>  |
| 1.6.1 Relevant legislation and policy requirements .....         | 6         |
| 1.6.2 Planning processes and standards .....                     | 6         |
| <b>1.7 Commonwealth legislation.....</b>                         | <b>6</b>  |
| <b>2 PROJECT NEED AND ALTERNATIVES .....</b>                     | <b>7</b>  |
| <b>2.1 Project justification .....</b>                           | <b>7</b>  |
| <b>2.2 Alternatives to the project .....</b>                     | <b>7</b>  |
| <b>3 DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT.....</b>                         | <b>8</b>  |
| <b>3.1 Location.....</b>   | <b>8</b>  |
| 3.1.1 Regional context.....                                      | 8         |
| 3.1.2 Local context.....   | 8         |
| <b>3.2 Construction .....</b>                                    | <b>8</b>  |
| <b>3.3 Operations.....</b>                                       | <b>8</b>  |
| 3.3.1 Tenements and tenures.....                                 | 9         |
| 3.3.2 Resource base and mine life .....                          | 9         |
| 3.3.3 Mining methods and equipment .....                         | 9         |
| 3.3.4 Mine sequencing.....                                       | 9         |
| 3.3.5 Workforce .....  | 9         |
| 3.3.6 Processing and products .....                              | 9         |
| 3.3.7 Ongoing evaluation and exploration activities .....        | 10        |
| <b>3.4 Product handling .....</b>                                | <b>10</b> |
| <b>3.5 Infrastructure requirements .....</b>                     | <b>10</b> |
| 3.5.1 Transport—road/rail/air/ship .....                         | 10        |
| 3.5.2 Energy .....   | 10        |
| 3.5.3 Water supply and storage.....                              | 10        |
| 3.5.4 Stormwater drainage .....                                  | 10        |
| 3.5.5 Sewerage.....  | 10        |
| 3.5.6 Telecommunications.....                                    | 11        |
| 3.5.7 Accommodation and other infrastructure .....               | 11        |

|             |  |           |
|-------------|--|-----------|
| <b>3.6</b>  | <b>Waste management</b> .....                            | <b>11</b> |
| 3.6.1       | Air emissions .....                                      | 11        |
| 3.6.2       | Excavated waste.....                                     | 12        |
| 3.6.3       | Tailings or fine rejects.....                            | 12        |
| 3.6.4       | Solid waste disposal .....                               | 12        |
| 3.6.5       | Liquid waste.....  | 13        |
| <b>3.7</b>  | <b>Rehabilitation and decommissioning</b> .....          | <b>13</b> |
| 4           | ENVIRONMENTAL VALUES AND MANAGEMENT OF IMPACTS .....     | 15        |
| <b>4.1</b>  | <b>Climate</b> .....                                     | <b>16</b> |
| <b>4.2</b>  | <b>Land</b> .....  | <b>17</b> |
| 4.2.1       | Description of environmental values.....                 | 17        |
| 4.2.2       | Potential impacts and mitigation measures .....          | 19        |
| <b>4.3</b>  | <b>Transport</b> .....                                   | <b>22</b> |
| 4.3.1       | Description of existing infrastructure and values.....   | 22        |
| 4.3.2       | Potential impacts and mitigation measures .....          | 22        |
| <b>4.4</b>  | <b>Waste</b> .....                                       | <b>23</b> |
| 4.4.1       | Description of environmental values.....                 | 24        |
| 4.4.2       | Potential impacts and mitigation measures .....          | 24        |
| <b>4.5</b>  | <b>Water resources</b> .....                             | <b>25</b> |
| 4.5.1       | Description of environmental values.....                 | 25        |
| 4.5.2       | Potential impacts and mitigation measures .....          | 26        |
| <b>4.6</b>  | <b>Air</b> .....   | <b>28</b> |
| 4.6.1       | Description of environmental values.....                 | 28        |
| 4.6.2       | Potential impacts and mitigation measures .....          | 29        |
| <b>4.7</b>  | <b>Noise and vibration</b> .....                         | <b>31</b> |
| 4.7.1       | Description of environmental values.....                 | 31        |
| 4.7.2       | Potential impacts and mitigation measures .....          | 32        |
| <b>4.8</b>  | <b>Nature conservation</b> .....                         | <b>32</b> |
| 4.8.1       | Description of environmental values.....                 | 32        |
| 4.8.2       | Potential impacts and mitigation measures .....          | 35        |
| <b>4.9</b>  | <b>Cultural heritage</b> .....                           | <b>37</b> |
| 4.9.1       | Description of environmental values.....                 | 37        |
| 4.9.2       | Potential impacts and mitigation measures .....          | 37        |
| <b>4.10</b> | <b>Social</b> .....                                      | <b>38</b> |
| 4.10.1      | Description of social and cultural values .....          | 38        |
| 4.10.2      | Potential impacts and mitigation measures .....          | 39        |
| <b>4.11</b> | <b>Health and safety</b> .....                           | <b>42</b> |
| 4.11.1      | Description of environmental values.....                 | 42        |
| 4.11.2      | Potential impacts and mitigation measures .....          | 42        |
| <b>4.12</b> | <b>Economy</b> .....                                     | <b>43</b> |
| 4.12.1      | Description of environmental values.....                 | 43        |
| 4.12.2      | Potential impacts and mitigation measures .....          | 43        |
| <b>4.13</b> | <b>Hazard and risk</b> .....                             | <b>43</b> |
| 4.13.1      | Description of environmental values.....                 | 44        |
| 4.13.2      | Potential impacts and mitigation measures .....          | 44        |
| <b>4.14</b> | <b>Cross-reference with the terms of reference</b> ..... | <b>45</b> |
| 5           | ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN .....                      | 46        |
| 6           | COMMITMENTS NOT INCLUDED IN THE EM PLAN .....            | 46        |
| 7           | REFERENCES .....   | 46        |
| 8           | RECOMMENDED APPENDICES .....                             | 46        |

## Background

Kagara Ltd is the proponent for the Mungana Gold Open Pit Development (MGOPD) project. The project would involve open pit mining of a high grade gold resource at a rate of approximately 1.2 million tonnes a year, adjacent to polymetallic reserves currently being mined at Mungana. The project would be situated on the existing Red Dome Project leases, which lie 15 kilometres west of Chillagoe in north Queensland. The existing tenures are mining leases (ML) 4928, 4977, 5176 and 5319, and Exploration Permit Minerals 15458. The life of the project would be approximately 3.5 years.

Red Dome Gold Mine operated from 1988 until 1997 and included open pit mining, production of gold ore and gold/copper concentrates via heap leaching, carbon-in-pulp, carbon-in-leach and flotation processes. Rehabilitation of the site was carried out at that time following the cessation of mining.

Kagara recommenced operations in 2006 to bring several polymetallic lenses into production. The current Mungana polymetallic mine lies within existing mining leases on land previously disturbed by mining or exploration. Kagara has approval to undertake underground mining and the construction of associated infrastructure such as offices, workshops, power corridor, roads and water impoundments. Additionally, at the present time, a polymetallic processing plant has been constructed to 52 per cent completion on site, and a tailing storage facility has been constructed to 95 per cent completion.

The MGOPD would use the infrastructure originally intended for processing of polymetallic minerals with modifications for gold and copper recovery, additional crushing and grinding, copper flotation filtration, carbon-in-leach process, elution circuit, gold room and a cyanide treatment circuit.

The proposed pit would have a depth of 260 metres and an area of 23.5 hectares. Development would include construction of non-acid-forming and potential-acid-forming waste rock dumps. With the exception of waste dumps, the development would lie within the existing site disturbance footprint.

An application was made by the proponent under section 71 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1994* for the preparation of a voluntary environmental impact statement. The application was approved.

The Red Dome Project, including the development of an open pit, was referred by the proponent in 2006 to the then Department of the Environment and Heritage under the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act). On 3 April 2006, the Department of the Environment and Heritage determined the project to be not a controlled action provided that it is undertaken in a particular manner specified in the Commonwealth Government decision notice.

## **CONTENT OF THE EIS**

### **Executive summary**

The function of the executive summary is to convey the most important aspects and options relating to the Mungana Gold Open Pit Development (MGOPD) project to the reader in a concise and readable form. It should use plain English and avoid the use of jargon and esoteric terms. The structure of the executive summary should follow that of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and focus strongly on the key issues and conclusions.

### **Glossary of terms**

A glossary of technical terms, acronyms and abbreviations shall be provided before the main text of the EIS.

## **1 Introduction**

The function of the introduction is to explain why the EIS has been prepared and what it sets out to achieve. In particular, the introduction should address the level of detail of information required to meet the level of approval being sought. It should also define the audience to whom it is directed, and contain an overview of the structure of the document. Throughout the EIS, factual information contained in the document should be referenced.

### **1.1 Project proponent**

Provide details of the MGOPD proponents, including details of any joint venture partners.

### **1.2 Project description**

A brief description of the key elements of the MGOPD should be provided and illustrated. Any major associated infrastructure requirements should also be summarised. Detailed descriptions of the project should follow in section 3.

### **1.3 Project objectives and scope**

A statement of the objectives which have led to the development of the MGOPD proposal and a brief outline of the events leading up to the proposal's formulation, including alternatives, envisaged time scale for implementation and project life, anticipated establishment costs and actions already undertaken within the project area.

Describe the current status of the project and outline the relationship of the project to other developments or actions that may relate whether or not they have been approved. The consequences of not proceeding with the project should also be discussed.

### **1.4 The environmental impact statement (EIS) process**

The purpose of this section is to make clear the methodology and objectives of the environmental impact statement under the relevant legislation.

#### **1.4.1 Methodology of the EIS**

This section should provide a description of the EIS process steps, timing and decisions to be made for relevant stages of the project. A brief description should be provided of studies or surveys that have been undertaken for the purposes of developing the project and preparing the EIS. This should include reference to relevant baseline studies or investigations undertaken previously. This section should also indicate how the consultation process (which will be described in detail in section 1.5) would integrate with the other components of the impact assessment, including the stages, timing and mechanisms for public input and participation.

The information in this section is required to ensure:

- relevant legislation is addressed;
- readers are informed of the process to be followed; and
- stakeholders are aware of any opportunities for input and participation.

### 1.4.2 Objectives of the EIS

Having described the methodology of the EIS, a succinct statement should be made of the EIS objectives. The structure of the EIS can then be outlined as an explanation of how the EIS will meet its objectives. The reader should be able to distinguish the EIS as the key environmental document providing advice to decision makers considering approvals for the MGOPD.

While the terms of reference provide guidance on the scope of the EIS studies, they should not be seen as exhaustive or limiting. It is important for proponents and their consultants to recognise that there cannot be perfect knowledge in advance of undertaking an EIS of what the EIS studies may find.

**If it transpires during the preparation of the EIS that previously unforeseen matters not addressed in the terms of reference are found to be relevant to the assessment of impacts of the proposal, those matters should be included in the EIS.**

**In addition, it is essential that the main text of the EIS should address all relevant matters concerning environmental values, impacts on those values and proposed mitigation measures. No relevant matter should be raised for the first time in an appendix or the draft environmental management plan (EM plan).**

**The depth and scope of the assessment in the EIS will need to be commensurate with the values to be impacted and the scale of the impacts. When considering whether an impact is or is not significant, the proponent should take account of both the intensity of the impact and the context in which it would occur.**

The EIS is a public document. Its purpose is not only to provide information to regulatory agencies, but also to inform the public of the scope, impacts and mitigation measures of the proposal. As such, the main text should be written in plain English avoiding jargon as much as possible. Additional technical detail may be provided in appendices. The main text should not assume that a reader would have a prior knowledge of the project site. It should not be necessary for the reader to have visited the site to understand the issues involved in the proposal.

In brief, the EIS objectives should be to provide public information on the need for and likely effects of the project, to set out acceptable standards and levels of impacts (both beneficial and adverse) on environmental values, and demonstrate how environmental impacts can be managed through the protection and enhancement of the environmental values. Discussion of options and alternatives and their likely relative environmental management outcomes is a key aspect of the EIS.

The role of the EIS in providing the project's draft EM plan should also be discussed, with particular reference to the EM plan's role in providing management measures that can be carried over into conditions that would attach to any approval(s), environmental authorities and permits for the project.

### 1.4.3 Submissions

The reader should be informed as to how and when public submissions on the draft EIS can be made, and how they will be addressed and taken into account in the decision-making process.

## 1.5 Public consultation process

An appropriate public consultation program is essential to the impact assessment. This section should outline the methodology that will be adopted to identify and mitigate socio-economic impacts of the project. Information about the consultation that has already taken place and the results of such consultation should be provided.

The submission of a list of affected persons and interested persons as well as a statement of how the proponent proposes to consult with those persons is a statutory requirement of the EIS process in the *Environmental Protection Act 1994* (see section 41). Similar requirements, though non-statutory, are usually applied to EIS processes under other State legislation.

The public consultation program should provide opportunities for community involvement and education. It may include interviews with individuals, public meetings, interest group meetings, production of regular summary information and updates, and other consultation mechanisms to encourage and facilitate active public consultation.

The public consultation process should identify broad issues of concern to local community and interest groups and should continue from project planning through commissioning, project operations and final decommissioning. Refer to the DERM guideline *Issue Identification and Community Consultation*.

## 1.6 Project approvals

### 1.6.1 Relevant legislation and policy requirements

This section should explain the legislation and policies controlling the approvals process. Reference should be made to the Queensland *Environmental Protection Act 1994*, *Sustainable Planning Act 2009* and other potentially relevant Queensland laws. Any requirements of the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* should also be included.

The EIS should identify all environmentally relevant activities that would be undertaken at the project site, including those that would otherwise require a development approval if the project were not covered by an environmental authority for a mining or petroleum activity.

If any potentially relevant legislation (such as the *Water Act 2000* for taking water, the *Nature Conservation Act 1992* for protected wildlife, or the *Vegetation Management Act 1999* for clearing) is not applicable, this section of the EIS should explain why.

Local Government planning controls, local laws and policies applying to the development should be described, and a list provided of the approvals required for the project and the expected program for approval of applications.

This information is required to assess how the legislation applies to the proposal, which agencies have jurisdiction, and whether the proposed impact assessment process is appropriate.

### 1.6.2 Planning processes and standards

This section should discuss the project's consistency with existing land uses or long-term policy framework for the area (e.g. as reflected in local and regional plans), and with legislation, standards, codes or guidelines available to monitor and control operations on site. This section should refer to all relevant State and regional planning policies. This information is required to demonstrate how the proposal conforms to State, regional and local plans for the area.

## 1.7 Commonwealth legislation

The Red Dome Project, including the development of an open pit, was referred by the proponent in 2006 to the then Department of the Environment and Heritage under the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act). On 3 April 2006, the Department of the Environment and Heritage determined the project to be not a controlled action provided that it is undertaken in a particular manner that the Commonwealth government specified in their decision notice. Consequently, the State's EIS process has not been accredited under the Bilateral Agreement between the Queensland and Commonwealth governments, and this terms of reference document is not required to address any matters of national environmental significance.

## **2 Project need and alternatives**

### **2.1 Project justification**

The justification for the MGOPD should be described, with particular reference made to the economic and social benefits, including employment and spin-off business development, which the project may provide. The status of the project should be discussed in a regional, State and national context.

### **2.2 Alternatives to the project**

This section should describe feasible alternatives, including conceptual, technological and locality alternatives to the project, and discussion of the consequences of not proceeding with the MGOPD. Alternatives should be discussed in sufficient detail to enable an understanding of the reasons for preferring certain options and courses of action and rejecting others. Comparative environmental impacts of each alternative should be summarised.

The interdependencies of the MGOPD components should be explained, particularly in regard to how each of any infrastructure requirements relate to the viability of the proposal. Should water supply, power, transport and/or storage infrastructure be included as an element of the proposal, this section should include a description of and rationale for such infrastructure.

Reasons for selecting the preferred options should include technical, commercial, social and natural environment aspects. In particular, the principles of ESD and sustainable development should be included. The relationship of options chosen for waste management and any emissions produced should be detailed.

This information is required to assess why the scope of the proposal is as it is and to ensure that the ESD principles and sustainable development aspects have been considered and incorporated during the scoping and planning of the proposal.

### 3 Description of the project

The objective of this section is to describe the MGO PD through its lifetime of construction and operation and decommissioning. This information is required to allow assessment of all aspects of a proposal including all phases of the proposal from planning, construction and operation through to decommissioning. It also allows further assessment of which approvals may be required and how they may be managed through the life of the proposal. Maps or figures showing the position of features or boundaries should use latitudes and longitudes on the GDA94 datum. Latitudes and longitudes on the GDA94 datum should also be used in the text to describe the locations of any features (such as discharge points) or boundaries that may be relevant to subsequent approvals.

#### 3.1 Location

##### 3.1.1 Regional context

The regional context of the MGO PD should be described and illustrated on maps at suitable scales.

##### 3.1.2 Local context

The local context of the proposal should be described and include real property descriptions of the project site and adjacent properties. Maps at suitable scales should be provided showing the precise location of the MGO PD area, and in particular:

- the location and boundaries of land tenures, in place or proposed, to which the project area is or will be subject;
- the location and boundaries of the project footprint showing all key aspects including excavations, stockpiles, areas of fill, watercourses, plant locations, water storages, buildings, bridges, culverts, hardstands, car parks, etc;
- existing local and state road network systems, including access points to the project site; and
- the location of any proposed buffers surrounding the working areas.

This section should include a rectified air photo enlargement or satellite image (preferably A3 size) to illustrate components of the MGO PD in relation to the land and mining tenures and natural and built features of the area.

#### 3.2 Construction

The extent and nature of the MGO PD construction phase should be described. The description should include the type and methods of construction, the construction equipment to be used and the items of plant to be transported onto the construction site.

Any staging of the proposal should be described and illustrated showing site boundaries, development sequencing and timeframes.

The estimated numbers of people to be employed in the project construction phase should also be provided with a description of where those people may be accommodated and/or how they will be transported to the site.

#### 3.3 Operations

The location and nature of the processes to be used should be described in the text and illustrated with maps, diagrams and artist's impressions as required. Operational issues to be addressed should include, but may not be limited to:

- a description of plant and equipment to be employed;
- the capacity of plant and equipment; and
- chemicals to be used.

Concept and layout plans should be provided highlighting proposed buildings, structures, plant and equipment associated with the processing operation. The nature, sources, location and quantities of all materials to be handled, including the storage and stockpiling of raw materials, should be described.



Indicative process flow-sheets should be provided showing material balances for the processing plant, and the anticipated rates of inputs, along with similar data on products, wastes and recycle streams.

### **3.3.1 Tenements and tenures**

Describe and illustrate any existing mining tenements, and petroleum, geothermal or greenhouse gas tenures overlying and adjacent to the MGOPD site, and any to be applied for this project.

### **3.3.2 Resource base and mine life**

Summarise the results of studies and surveys undertaken to identify the mineral and natural resources required to implement the proposal (further detail should be provided in section 4.2.1.2, Geology). The location, volume, tonnage and quality of natural resources required should be described (e.g. land, water, timber, energy, etc.). Specific details should be provided of the following:

- the proposed mine life and an outline of the coal/mineral resource base including the total thickness of seams or extent of the ore body;
- the planned recovery of resources;
- locations of any resources that would be sterilised by the planned activities;
- the quantity of coal/mineral to be mined annually including any proposed ramping of production or staging of development.

### **3.3.3 Mining methods and equipment**

Specific details should be provided of the following:

- the mining type and methods to be used, including the major equipment to be used in the various components of the operation;
- the use of different techniques in areas of different topographic or geo-technical character;
- chemicals to be used, including hydraulic fluids used and released in underground operations.

The description should refer to, and be complemented by, the figures previously presented in section 3.3.1 showing the locations of key aspects of the project. Additional figures should be provided if required.

### **3.3.4 Mine sequencing**

Specific details should be provided of the following:

- the proposed sequence and timing of mining of each seam/ore body within the mining lease;
- the physical extent of excavations, location of stockpiles of overburden and/or coal/mineral reject to be handled during the Project's operation or left after mining ceases—the description should include the rate of throughput of stockpiles of product, reject and overburden;
- typical cross sections of the mine workings showing voids, surface profiles and geological strata;
- the proposed progressive backfilling of excavations; and
- the area disturbed at each major stage of the MGOPD.

### **3.3.5 Workforce**

Information should be provided on the workforce numbers to be employed in the facility's operations during its various phases (construction, commissioning, operation and decommissioning) and stages. The EIS should also provide a description of where those people may be accommodated and/or how they will be transported to the site. Comment should be made on the anticipated basis of employment (permanent, contract, etc).

### **3.3.6 Processing and products**

This section should describe the quantities and characteristics of the products produced on an annual basis. Indicative process flow-sheets should be provided showing material balances for the processing plant, and the anticipated rates of inputs, along with similar data on products, wastes and recycle streams.

### **3.3.7 Ongoing evaluation and exploration activities**

This section should describe the extent and nature of any proposed ongoing exploration or geological/geo-technical evaluation within the project area that may be required over the life of the MGOPD.

## **3.4 Product handling**

Describe and show on plans at an appropriate scale the proposed methods and facilities to be used for product storage and for transferring product from the processing plant to the storage facilities and from the storage facilities to the transport facilities. Include discussion of any environmental design features of these facilities including bunding of storage facilities.

## **3.5 Infrastructure requirements**

This section should provide descriptions, with concept and layout plans, of requirements for constructing, upgrading or relocating all infrastructure within the project. The locations of any necessary infrastructure easements should be shown on the plan. The matters to be considered include such infrastructure as roads, rail (including the rail corridor), level crossings, conveyors, bridges, tracks and pathways, dams and weirs, bore fields, power lines and other cables, wireless technology (e.g. microwave telecommunications), and pipelines for any services (whether underground or above).

### **3.5.1 Transport—road/rail/air/ship**

Provide an overview of the arrangements for the transport of plant, equipment, operational inputs, products, wastes and personnel during both the construction phase and operational phases of the MGOPD. The description should cover the use of existing facilities and all requirements for the construction, upgrading or relocation of any transport related infrastructure.

### **3.5.2 Energy**

The EIS should describe all energy requirements, including electricity, natural gas, and/or solid and liquid fuel requirements for the construction and operation of the MGOPD. The locations of any easements should be shown on the infrastructure plan.

Energy conservation should be briefly described in the context of any Commonwealth, State and local government policies.

### **3.5.3 Water supply and storage**

The EIS should provide information on water usage by the MGOPD, including the quality and quantity of all water supplied to, or captured at, the site. In particular, the proposed and optional sources of water supply should be described (e.g. bores, any surface storages such as dams and weirs, supply pipelines).

Estimated rates of supply from each source (average and maximum rates) should be given. Any proposed water conservation and management measures should be described.

Determination of potable water demand should be made for the project, including the temporary demands during the construction period. Details should be provided of any existing town water supply to meet such requirements. If water storage and treatment is proposed on site, for use by the site workforce, then this should be described.

### **3.5.4 Stormwater drainage**

A description should be provided of the proposed stormwater drainage system and the proposed disposal arrangements, including any off-site services. The description should be illustrated with figures with contours at suitable intervals (1m contours in areas of low relief) showing drainage pathways and the locations and discharge points of sediment detention basins and any other stormwater quality improvement devices.

### **3.5.5 Sewerage**

This section should describe, in general terms, the sewerage infrastructure required for the MGOPD. If it is intended that industrial effluent or relatively large amounts of domestic effluent are to be discharged into an existing sewerage system, an assessment of the capacity of the existing system to accept the effluent should be

provided in section 4.4 'Waste'. For industrial effluent, this should include detail of the physical and chemical characteristics of the effluent(s).

### **3.5.6 Telecommunications**

The EIS should describe any impacts on existing telecommunications infrastructure (such as optical cables, microwave towers, etc.) and identify the owners of that infrastructure.

### **3.5.7 Accommodation and other infrastructure**

A description should be provided of any other developments directly related to the project not described in other sections, such as:

- camps, townships or residential developments;
- fuel storage areas;
- equipment hardstand and maintenance areas; and
- technical workshops and laboratories.

## **3.6 Waste management**

Provide an inventory of all wastes to be generated by the proposal during the construction, operational and decommissioning phases of the MGOPD. In addition to the expected total volumes of each waste produced, include an inventory of the following per unit volume of product produced:

- the tonnage of raw materials processed;
- the amount of resulting process wastes; and
- the volume and tonnage of any re-usable by-products.

Schematic diagrams, which for the operational phase may be simplified versions of those provided in section 3.3, should be provided for each distinct stage of the project (e.g. construction, operation and decommissioning) indicating the processes to be used and highlighting their associated waste streams (i.e. all waste outputs: solid, liquid and gaseous), including recycling efforts, such as stockpiling and reusing topsoil. The schematic diagrams, or an associated table, should cross-reference the relevant sections of the EIS where the potential impacts and mitigation measures associated with each waste stream are described. The physical and chemical characteristics of waste material from the process plant should be provided.

Having regard for best practice waste management strategies and the EPP(Waste), the proposals for waste avoidance, reuse, recycling, treatment and disposal should be described in the appropriate sub-section below. Information should also be provided on the variability, composition and generation rates of all waste produced at the site and processing plant.

Cleaner production waste management planning should be detailed especially as to how these concepts have been applied to preventing or minimising environmental impacts at each stage of the proposal. Details on natural resource use efficiency (e.g. energy and water), integrated processing design, co-generation of power and by-product reuse as shown in a material/energy flow analysis should be presented.

This information is required to enable the resource management agencies and other stakeholders to assess the efficiency of resource use, and allocation issues.

### **3.6.1 Air emissions**

Describe in detail the quantity and quality of all air emissions (including particulates, fumes and odours) from the MGOPD during construction and operation. Particulate emissions include those that would be produced by any industrial process, or disturbed by wind action on stockpiles and conveyors, or by transportation equipment (e.g. trucks, either by entrainment from the load or by passage on unsealed roads).

The methods to be employed in the mitigation of impacts from air emissions should be described in section 4.6.

### 3.6.2 Excavated waste

This section should describe and show the location, design and methods for constructing dumps for waste rock. The location of the dumps should be shown on a map relative to topography and other natural features of the area. The following should be detailed and discussed:

- An estimated tonnage and/or volume of waste rock to be produced annually.
- The expected proportion and source of waste rock that is mineralised but currently uneconomical for processing.
- Results of waste rock characterisation that includes the net acid producing potential of the mined waste rock (metals analysis, sulfides, pH, conductivity, Net Acid Producing Potential (NAPP), and Net Acid Generation (NAG)).
- Characterisation should also address the properties of waste rock that affect their erosion potential. Sampling should be representative with profiles of all geological units included and based on accepted statistical procedures and be in accordance with recognised guidelines.
- Details of any likely leachate quality expected under field conditions, including contaminants such as sulfate, pH, chloride, iron, major cations and anions, and any chemical species in sufficient quantity that is likely to be reactive and/or toxic.
- Measures to ensure stability of the waste dumps, particularly the management of drainage.
- Slope profiles that are consistent with intended land use and acceptable post-mining land management and maintenance.
- Alternatives for excavated waste disposal, including in-filling of voids, off-site options and treatment of any contaminated soil.

### 3.6.3 Tailings or fine rejects

This section should describe the tailings waste produced by preparation and processing and the proposed methods for its disposal. Describe alternative options for tailings disposal including the proposed location, site suitability and volume of any tailings storage and/or disposal site(s), including the method of construction.

Describe the approximate quantity of tailings to be produced by the project and its processing plant annually for the life of the mine. Tailings characterisation information should also be presented in this section, including:

- physical properties of the tailings solids;
- geochemical properties of the tailings solids using static testing (Net Acid Production Potential (NAPP), NAG, etc); and
- chemical properties of tailings pore-water including pH, conductivity, major cations and anions, and any chemical species in sufficient quantity that is likely to be reactive and/or toxic either acutely or by chronic exposure.

The construction of the tailings storage facility should be described with regards to construction material and design. The EIS should address how the tailings storage facility complies with relevant codes for the construction of such containment systems.

Describe the strategies to monitor and manage seepage into ground and surface waters. The location of the storage and/or disposal site with regard to adjacent creeks and rivers should be described and illustrated with contours at a suitable interval. Describe the location of the tailings storage facility in relation to any water resources that are, or could be, used for stock watering or as a raw water source for potable supply.

### 3.6.4 Solid waste disposal

Describe the quantity and quality of solid wastes (other than waste rock, subsoil and tailings addressed in other sections) and the proposed methods of their disposal. The proposed location, site suitability, dimensions and volume of any landfill, including its method of construction, should be shown.

### 3.6.5 Liquid waste

A description should be presented of the origin, quality and quantity of wastewater and any immiscible liquid waste originating from the MGOPD other than that addressed in previous sections. Particular attention should be given to the capacity of wastes to generate acid, and saline or sodic wastewater. A water balance for the MGOPD is required to account for the estimated usage of water.

The EIS may need to consider the following effects:

- groundwater from excavations;
- rainfall directly onto disturbed surface areas;
- run-off from roads, plant and industrial areas, chemical storage areas;
- drainage (i.e. run-off plus any seepage or leakage);
- seepage from other waste storages;
- water usage for:
  - process use,
  - dust suppression, and
  - domestic purposes;
- evaporation;
- domestic sewage treatment - disposal of liquid effluent and sludge; and
- water supply treatment plant - disposal of wastes.

### 3.7 Rehabilitation and decommissioning

This section should describe the options, strategies and methods for progressive and final rehabilitation of the environment disturbed by the MGOPD. The strategic approach to progressive and final rehabilitation should be described. A preferred rehabilitation strategy should be developed with a view to minimising the amount of land disturbed at any one time. The final topography of any excavations, waste areas and dam sites should be shown on maps at a suitable scale.

The strategies and methods presented for progressive and final rehabilitation of disturbed areas should demonstrate compliance with the objectives of the DERM Guideline 18, *Rehabilitation requirements for mining projects* and the *Technical guidelines for the environmental management of exploration and mining in Queensland* (1995) except where superseded by Guideline 18. In particular, the strategies and methods should have the following objectives:

- Mining and rehabilitation should aim to create a landform with land use capability and/or suitability similar to that prior to disturbance unless other beneficial land uses are pre-determined and agreed.
- Mine wastes and disturbed land should be rehabilitated to a condition that is self-sustaining or to a condition where the maintenance requirements are consistent with an agreed post-mining land use.
- Surface and ground waters that leave the lease should not be degraded to a significant extent. Current and future water quality should be maintained at levels that are acceptable for users downstream of the site.

The means of decommissioning the MGOPD, in terms of the removal or reuse of plant, equipment, structures and buildings should be described, and the methods proposed for the stabilisation of the affected areas should be given.

Information should be provided regarding decommissioning and rehabilitation of the plant site, removal of processing plant, rehabilitation of concrete footings and foundations, hardstand areas and storage tanks (including any potential for reuse of these facilities). Options and methods for the disposal of wastes from the demolition of plant and buildings should be discussed in sufficient detail for their feasibility and suitability to be established.

Describe any proposals to divert creeks during operations, and, if applicable, the reinstatement of the creeks after operations have ceased. Where dams are to be constructed, proposals for the management of these structures after the completion of the project should be given, noting that the retention of any dam is subject to

the agreement of the landholder and the administering authority. Also, the final drainage and seepage control systems and long-term monitoring plans should be described. The EIS should also demonstrate where final voids and uncompacted overburden and workings at the end of mining would lie in relation to flood levels up to and including the “probable maximum flood level” based on the Bureau of Meteorology’s “probable maximum precipitation” forecast for the locality.

A description of topsoil management should consider transport, storage and replacement of topsoil to disturbed areas. The minimisation of topsoil storage times (to reduce fertility degradation) should also be addressed.

Detail of the impacts of the preferred rehabilitation strategy should be discussed in the appropriate subsections of section 4 (Environmental values and management of impacts) particularly with regard to such issues as final landform stability (section 4.2.2), rehabilitation of flora (section 4.8.2) and the long-term quality of water in any final voids (section 4.5.2). Implications for the long-term use and fate of the site should also be addressed, particularly with regard to the on-site disposal of waste and the site’s inclusion on the Environmental Management Register or Contaminated Land Register.

## 4 Environmental values and management of impacts

The functions of this section are to:

- Describe the existing environmental values of the area which may be affected by the MGOPD. Environmental values are defined in section 9 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1994*, environmental protection policies and other documents such as the ANZECC 2000 guidelines and South East Queensland Regional Water Quality Management Strategy. Environmental values may also be derived following recognised procedures, such as described in the ANZECC 2000 guidelines. Environmental values should be described by reference to background information and studies, which should be included as appendices to the EIS.
- Describe the potential adverse and beneficial impacts of the MGOPD on the identified environmental values. Any likely environmental harm on the environmental values should be described.
- Describe any cumulative impacts on environmental values caused by the MGOPD, either in isolation or by combination with other known existing or planned development or sources of contamination.
- Propose environmental protection objectives and commitments. All environmental protection commitments must be measurable and auditable.
- Examine viable alternative strategies for managing impacts. These alternatives should be presented and compared in view of the stated objectives and standards to be achieved. Available techniques, including best practice, to control and manage impacts to the nominated objectives should be discussed. This section should detail the environmental protection measures to be used in the planning, construction, operations, rehabilitation and decommissioning stages of the MGOPD and any associated works. Measures should prevent, or where prevention is not possible, minimise environmental harm and maximise socio-economic and environmental benefits of the proposal. Preferred measures should be identified and described in more detail than other alternatives.
- Describe any computational model used to make predictions of impacts and/or outcomes of mitigation measures. The description should address the inputs, assumptions, limitations, sensitivities, accuracy and precision of the model.

Any maps or figures showing the position of features or boundaries should use latitudes and longitudes on the GDA94 datum. Latitudes and longitudes on the GDA94 datum should also be used in the text to describe the locations of any features (such as discharge points) or boundaries that may be relevant to subsequent approvals.

Environmental protection objectives may be derived from legislative and planning requirements which apply to the proposal including Commonwealth strategies, State planning policies, local authority strategic plans, environmental protection policies under the *Environmental Protection Act 1994*, and any catchment management plans prepared by local water boards or land care groups. Special attention should be given to those mitigation strategies designed to protect the values of any sensitive areas and any identified ecosystems of high conservation value within the area of possible proposal impact.

This section should address all elements of the environment, (such as land, water, air, waste, noise, nature conservation, cultural heritage, social and community, health and safety, economy, hazards and risk) in a way that is comprehensive and clear. To achieve this, the following issues should be considered for each environmental value relevant to the project:

- Environmental values affected: describe the existing environmental values of the area to be affected including values and areas that may be affected by any cumulative impacts (refer to any background studies in appendices - note such studies may be required over several seasons). It should be explained how the environmental values were derived (e.g. by citing published documents or by following a recognised procedure to derive the values).
- Impact on environmental values: describe quantitatively the likely impact of the MGOPD on the identified environmental values of the area. The cumulative impacts of the proposal must be considered over time or in combination with other (all) impacts in the dimensions of scale, intensity, duration or frequency of the impacts. In particular, any requirements and recommendations of relevant State planning policies, environmental protection policies, national environmental protection measures and integrated catchment management plans should be addressed.

- Cumulative impacts on the environmental values of land, air and water and cumulative impacts on public health and the health of terrestrial, aquatic and marine ecosystems must be discussed in the relevant sections. This assessment may include air and water sheds affected by the MGO PD and other proposals competing for use of the local air and water sheds.
- Where impacts from the MGO PD will not be felt in isolation to other sources of impact, it is recommended that the proponent develop consultative arrangements with other industries in the proposal's area to undertake cooperative monitoring and/or management of environmental parameters. Such arrangements should be described in the EIS.
- Environmental protection objectives: describe qualitatively and quantitatively the proposed objectives for enhancing or protecting each environmental value. Include proposed indicators to be monitored to demonstrate the extent of achievement of the objective as well as the numerical standard that defines the achievement of the objective (this standard must be auditable). The measurable indicators and standards can be determined from legislation, support policies and government policies as well as the expected performance of control strategies. Objectives for progressive and final rehabilitation and management of contaminated land should be included.
- Control strategies to achieve the objectives: describe the control principals, proposed actions and technologies to be implemented that are likely to achieve the environmental protection objectives; include designs, relevant performance specifications of plant. Details are required to show that the expected performance is achievable and realistic.
- Environmental offsets: Information is required to show that measures have been taken to avoid and minimise potential adverse impacts of the proposal. Environmental offsets may be proposed to counterbalance any remaining loss of environmental values, consistent with the specific-issue offset policies under the framework of the *Queensland Government Environmental Offset Policy 2008*.
- Monitoring programs: describe the monitoring parameters, monitoring points, frequency, data interpretation and reporting proposals.
- Auditing programs: describe how progress towards achievement of the objectives will be measured, reported and whether external auditors will be employed. Include scope, methods and frequency of auditing proposed.
- Management strategies: describe the strategies to be used to ensure the environmental protection objectives are achieved and control strategies implemented, such as by a continuous improvement framework, including details of corrective action options, reporting (including any public reporting), monitoring, staff training, management responsibility pathway, and any environmental management systems and how they are relevant to each element of the environment.
- Information quality: information given under each element should also state the sources of the information, how recent the information is, how any background studies were undertaken (e.g. intensity of field work sampling), how the reliability of the information was tested, and what uncertainties (if any) are in the information.

The mitigation measures, monitoring programs, etc., identified in this section of the EIS should be used to develop the environmental monitoring program for the MGO PD (see section 5).

## 4.1 Climate

This section should describe the rainfall patterns (including magnitude and seasonal variability of rainfall), air temperatures, humidity, wind (direction and speed) and any other special factors (e.g. temperature inversions) that may affect management of the proposal including air quality within the region of the proposal. Extremes of climate (droughts, floods, cyclones, etc) should also be discussed with particular reference to water management at the proposal site. The vulnerability of the area to natural or induced hazards, such as floods and bushfires, should also be addressed. The relative frequency and magnitude of these events should be considered together with the risk they pose to management of the project.

The potential impacts due to climatic factors should be addressed in the relevant sections of the EIS. The impacts of rainfall on soil erosion should be addressed in section 4.2. The impacts of storm events on the capacity of waste containment systems (e.g. site bunding/stormwater management and tailings dams) should be addressed in section 4.5 with regard to contamination of waterways and in section 4.4 with regard to the



design of the waste containment systems. The impacts of winds, rain, humidity, and temperature inversions on air quality should be addressed in section 4.6.

## 4.2 Land

### 4.2.1 Description of environmental values

This section describes the existing environment values of the land area that may be affected by the MGOPD. It should also define and describe the objectives and practical measures for protecting or enhancing land-based environmental values, describe how nominated quantitative standards and indicators may be achieved, and how the achievement of the objectives will be monitored, audited and managed.

#### 4.2.1.1 Topography/geomorphology

The topography of the proposal site and any other potentially impacted area should be detailed with contours at suitable increments, shown with respect to Australian Height Datum (AHD) and drafted to the GDA 94 datum. Significant features of the locality should be included on the maps. Such features would include any locations subsequently referred to in the EIS (e.g. the nearest noise sensitive locations) that are not included on other maps in section 4.2. Commentary on the maps should be provided highlighting the significant topographical features.

#### 4.2.1.2 Geology

The EIS should provide a description, map and a series of cross-sections of the geology of the proposal area, with particular reference to the physical and chemical properties of surface and sub-surface materials and geological structures within the proposed areas of disturbance, including areas outside the mining leases that could be influenced by mining activities (e.g. by mine dewatering). Geological properties that may influence ground stability (including seismic activity, if relevant), occupational health and safety, rehabilitation programs, or the quality of wastewater leaving any area disturbed by the proposal should be described. In locations where the age and type of geology is such that significant fossil specimens (such as of dinosaurs or their tracks) may be uncovered during construction/operations, the EIS should address the potential for significant finds.

#### 4.2.1.3 Mineral resources and ore reserves

The EIS should provide a summary of the results of studies and surveys undertaken to identify and delineate the mineral resources and ore reserves within the MGOPD open cut area (including any areas underlying related infrastructure).

The location, tonnage and quality of the mineral resources and ore reserves within the open cut area should be described in detail as indicated below, and include the modifying factors and assumptions made in arriving at estimates. The mineral resources and ore reserves should be estimated and reported in accordance with the *Australasian code for reporting of mineral resources and ore reserves* (the JORC Code - available at [www.jorc.org/main.php](http://www.jorc.org/main.php)) and the principles outlined in the *Australian guidelines for the estimating and reporting of inventory coal, coal resources and coal reserves* (available at [www.jorc.org/pdf/coalguidelines.pdf](http://www.jorc.org/pdf/coalguidelines.pdf)) as appropriate.

In addition, maps (at appropriate scales) should be provided showing the general location of the project area, and in particular:

- the location and areal extent of the mineral resources to be developed or mined;
- the location and boundaries of mining tenures, granted or proposed, to which the project area is, or will be subject;
- the location of the proposed mine excavation(s);
- the location and boundaries of any project sites;
- the location and boundaries of any other features that will result from the proposed mining including waste/spoil dumps, water storage facilities and other infrastructure;
- the location of any proposed buffers, surrounding the working areas; and
- any part of the resource not intended to be mined and any part of the resource that may be sterilised by the proposed mining operations or infrastructure.

#### 4.2.1.4 Soils

A soil survey of the sites affected by the MGOPD should be conducted at a suitable scale, with particular reference to the physical and chemical properties of the materials that will influence erosion potential, storm water run-off quality, rehabilitation and agricultural productivity of the land. Information should also be provided on soil stability and suitability for construction of proposal facilities.

Soil profiles should be mapped at a suitable scale and described according to the *Australian soil and land survey field handbook* (McDonald et al, 1990) and *Australian soil classification* (Isbell, 1996). An appraisal of the depth and quality of useable soil should be undertaken. Information should be presented according to the standards required in the *Planning guidelines: the identification of Good Quality Agricultural Land* (DPI, DHLGP, 1993), and the *State Planning Policy 1/92: Development and the conservation of agricultural land*.

#### 4.2.1.5 Land use

The EIS should provide a description of current land tenures and land uses, including native title issues, in the MGOPD area, with particular mention of land with special purposes. The location and owner/custodians of native title in the area and details of native title claims should be shown.

Maps at suitable scales showing existing land uses and tenures, and the MGOPD location, should be provided for the entire proposal area and surrounding land that could be affected by the development. The maps should identify areas of conservation value that may be impacted by the proposal. The location of existing dwellings and the zoning of all affected lands according to any existing town or strategic plan should be included.

Describe the land use suitabilities of the affected area in terms of the physical and economic attributes. The assessment should set out soil and landform subclasses assigned to soil mapping units in order to derive land suitability classes. The limitations and land suitability classification system to use is that in Attachment 2 of *Land Suitability Assessment Techniques* in the *Technical Guidelines for the Environmental Management of Exploration and Mining in Queensland* (1995).

Provide a land suitability map of the proposed and adjacent area, and setting out land suitability and current land uses, e.g. for grazing of native and improved pastures and horticulture. Land classified as Good Quality Agricultural Land in DERM's land classification system is to be shown in accordance with the *Planning Guidelines: The Identification of Good Quality Agricultural Land*, which supports State Planning Policy 1/92.

#### 4.2.1.6 Infrastructure

The location and owner/custodians of all tenures, reserves, roads and road reserves, railways and rail reserves, stock routes and the like, covering the affected land should be shown on maps of a suitable scale. Indicate locations of gas and water pipelines, power lines and any other easements. Describe the environmental values affected by this infrastructure.

#### 4.2.1.7 Sensitive environmental areas

The proximity of the MGOPD to any environmentally sensitive areas should be shown on a map of suitable scale. This section of the EIS should then identify whether any of those environmentally sensitive areas could be affected, directly and indirectly, by the proposal.

In particular, the EIS should indicate if the land affected by the proposal is, or is likely, to become part of the protected area estate, or is subject to any treaty. Consideration should be given to national parks, conservation parks, declared fish habitat areas, wilderness areas, aquatic reserves, heritage/historic areas or items, national estates, world heritage listings and sites covered by international treaties or agreements (e.g. Ramsar, JAMBA, CAMBA, ROKAMBA), areas of cultural significance and scientific reserves (see section 4.8 for further guidance on sensitive areas).

In addition, and to the extent outlined in section 1.7, the Commonwealth's *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* should be addressed and a determination should be made whether there are national environmentally significant matters relevant to this section that should be described.

#### 4.2.1.8 Landscape character

This section should describe in general terms the existing character of the landscape that will be affected by the MGOPD. It should comment on any changes that have already been made to the natural landscape since European settlement. It should 'set the scene' for the description of particular scenic values in the following section on visual amenity. The difference being that this section describes the general impression of the landscape that would be obtained while travelling through and around it, while the visual amenity section

addresses particular panoramas and views (e.g. from constructed lookouts, designated scenic routes, etc.) that have amenity value.

#### **4.2.1.9 Visual amenity**

This section should describe existing landscape features, panoramas and views that have, or could be expected to have, value to the community whether of local, regional, State-wide, national or international significance. Information in the form of maps, sections, elevations and photographs is to be used, particularly where addressing the following issues:

- identification of elements within the MGOPD and surrounding area that contribute to the areas image as discussed in the any regional plan, local government strategic plan and associated maps;
- major views, view sheds, existing viewing outlooks, ridgelines and other features contributing to the amenity of the area, including assessment from private residences in the affected area;
- focal points, landmarks (built form or topography), gateways associated with project site and immediate surrounding areas, waterways, and other features contributing to the visual quality of the area and the MGOPD site;
- character of the local and surrounding areas including character of built form (scale, form, materials and colours) and vegetation (natural and cultural vegetation) directional signage and land use;
- identification of the areas of the MGOPD that have the capacity to absorb land use changes without detriment to the existing visual quality and landscape character; and
- the value of existing vegetation and landforms as a visual screen.

#### **4.2.2 Potential impacts and mitigation measures**

This section defines and describes the objectives and practical measures for protecting or enhancing the land-based environmental values identified through the studies outlined in the previous section. It should describe how nominated quantitative standards and indicators may be achieved, and how the achievement of the objectives will be monitored, audited and managed.

##### **4.2.2.1 Resource Utilisation**

With regard to resource stewardship, the EIS should analyse the effectiveness of the mining proposal in achieving the optimum utilisation of the mineral resources within the MGOPD area and consider its impacts on other resources. It should demonstrate that the mining proposal will 'best develop' the mineral resources within the project area, minimise resource wastage and avoid any unnecessary sterilisation of these or any other of the State's coal, mineral, and petroleum (including gas and coal seam methane) resources that may be impacted upon or sterilised by the mining activities or related infrastructure.

The EIS should provide detail on how the company plans to manage low grade or currently uneconomic material to ensure that non-sterilisation of this significant potential future resource is considered. Also describe measures to ensure the minimal dilution of mineralised but currently sub-economic waste rock by non-mineralised waste rock. The EIS should detail the basis for any non-stockpiling or sterilisation of currently uneconomic material. This section should also provide details and maps of expected residual or remnant resources within the project area including: any low grade stockpiles; tailings; and current uneconomic material.

##### **4.2.2.2 Land use suitability**

The potential for the construction and operation of the MGOPD to change existing and potential land uses of the proposal site and adjacent areas should be detailed. Post operations land use options should be detailed including suitability of the area to be used for agriculture, industry, or nature conservation. The factors favouring or limiting the establishment of those options should be given in the context of land use suitability prior to the proposal and minimising potential liabilities for long-term management.

The potential environmental harm caused by the MGOPD on the adjacent areas currently used for grazing, recreation, tourism, other business and the implications of the proposal for future developments in the impact area including constraints on surrounding land uses should be described. If the development adjoins or potentially impacts on good quality agricultural land, then an assessment of the potential for land use conflict is required. Investigations should follow the procedures set out in the *Planning Guidelines: The Identification of Good Quality Agricultural Land*, which supports *State Planning Policy 1/92*.

Outline incompatible land uses, whether existing or potential, adjacent to all aspects of the MGOPD, including essential and proposed ancillary developments or activities and areas directly or indirectly affected by the construction and operation of these activities should be identified and measures to avoid unacceptable impacts defined.

#### **4.2.2.3 Land disturbance**

A strategy should be developed that will minimise the amount of land disturbed at any one time. The strategic approach to progressive rehabilitation of landforms and final decommissioning should be described with particular regard to the impacts in the short, medium and long timeframes. The methods to be used for the MGOPD, including backfilling, covering, re-contouring, topsoil handling and revegetation, should be described. However, a description of erosion and sediment control could be deferred to section 4.2.2.5. Any proposals to disturb land that would impede or divert overland flow or waterways, and any subsequent reinstatement, during construction or operations should be first described in this section. However, the potential impacts of interfering with flow on the quantity and quality of water resources should be assessed in section 4.5. Also, the final drainage and seepage control systems and any long-term monitoring plans should be described.

In addition to assessing the operational phase of land disturbance, the EIS should address the ultimate changes following implementation of the decommissioning and rehabilitation plan described in section 3.7. The EIS should detail the proposed long-term changes that will occur to the land after mining ceases compared to the situation before mining commences. Those changes should be illustrated on maps at a suitable scale and with contours at intervals sufficient to assess the likely drainage pattern for ground and surface waters (though the assessment of the impacts on drainage and water quality should be provided in the water resources section of the EIS). The mitigation measures for land disturbance to be used on decommissioning the site should be assessed in sufficient detail to decide their feasibility. In particular, the EIS should address the long-term stability of final voids and spoil dumps, safety of access to the site after surrender of the lease, and the residual risks that will be transferred to the subsequent landholder.

Rehabilitation success criteria for land disturbance should be proposed in this section while rehabilitation success criteria for revegetation should be proposed in the section on nature conservation. Describe the methodologies for developing the rehabilitation criteria.

If geological conditions are conducive, the proponent should consider the possibility that significant fossil specimens (such as of dinosaurs or their tracks) may be uncovered during construction/operations and propose strategies for protecting the specimens and alerting the Queensland Museum to the find.

#### **4.2.2.4 Land contamination**

The EIS should describe the possible contamination of land from aspects of the MGOPD including waste, reject product, acid generation from exposed sulfidic material and spills at chemical and fuel storage areas.

The means of preventing land contamination should be addressed. Methods proposed for preventing, recording, containing and remediating any contaminated land should be outlined. Intentions should be stated concerning the classification (in terms of the Queensland Contaminated Land Register) of land contamination on the land, processing plant site and product storage areas after proposal completion.

A preliminary site investigation (PSI) of the site consistent with DERM's *Draft guidelines for the assessment and management of contaminated land in Queensland* should be undertaken to determine background contamination levels. The results of the PSI should be summarised in the EIS and provided in detail in an appendix.

If the results of the preliminary site investigation indicate potential or actual contamination, a detailed site investigation progressively managed in accordance with the stages outlined in Appendix 5 of the *Draft guidelines for the assessment and management of contaminated land in Queensland* should be undertaken.

In short, the following information may be required in the EIS:

- mapping of any areas listed on the Environmental Management Register or Contaminated Land Register under the *Environmental Protection Act 1994*;
- identification of any potentially contaminated sites not on the registers which may need remediation; and
- a description of the nature and extent of contamination at each site and a remediation plan and validation sampling.

The EIS should address management of any existing or potentially contaminated land in addition to preventing and managing land contamination resulting from activities associated with the MGOPD. The Draft Guidelines for the Assessment and Management of Contaminated Land in Queensland can be downloaded from the DERM website at: <http://www.derm.qld.gov.au/register/p00090aa.pdf> Proponents should refer study proposals to DERM for review prior to commencement.

#### **4.2.2.5 Erosion and stability**

For all permanent and temporary landforms, possible erosion rates and management techniques should be described. For each waste rock and soil type identified, erosion potential (wind and water) and erosion management techniques should be outlined. An erosion-monitoring program, including rehabilitation measures for erosion problems identified during monitoring, should also be outlined. Mitigation strategies should be developed to achieve acceptable soil loss rates, levels of sediment in rainfall runoff and wind-generated dust concentrations.

The report should include an assessment of likely erosion and stability effects for all disturbed areas such as:

- areas cleared of vegetation;
- waste dumps;
- stockpiles;
- dams, banks and creek crossings;
- the plant site, including buildings; and
- access roads or other transport corridors.

Methods proposed to prevent or control erosion should be specified and should be developed with regard to:

- (a) the long-term stability of waste dumps and voids;
- (b) preventing soil loss in order to maintain land capability/suitability; and
- (c) preventing significant degradation of local waterways by suspended solids.

The mitigation measures should address the selective handling of waste rock and capping material to maximise long-term stability of final landforms in regard to slumping and erosion both on and below the surface. Erosion control measures should be developed into an erosion and sediment control plan for inclusion in the EM plan.

#### **4.2.2.6 Landscape character**

Describe the potential impacts of the MGOPD on the landscape character of the site and the surrounding area. Particular mention should be made of any changes to the broad-scale topography and vegetation character of the area, such as due to spoil dumps, excavated voids and broad-scale clearing.

Details should be provided of measures to be undertaken to mitigate or avoid the identified impacts.

#### **4.2.2.7 Visual amenity**

This section should analyse and discuss the visual impact of the MGOPD on particular panoramas and outlooks. It should be written in terms of the extent and significance of the changed skyline as viewed from places of residence, work, and recreation, from roads, from the air and other known vantage points day and night, during all stages of the project as it relates to the surrounding landscape. The assessment is to address the visual impacts of the project structures and associated infrastructure, using appropriate simulation. Sketches, diagrams, computer imaging and photos are to be used where possible to portray the near views and far views of the completed structures and their surroundings from visually sensitive locations. Special consideration is to be given to public roads, public thoroughfares, and places of residence or work, which are within the line-of-sight of the MGOPD.

Detail should be provided of all management options to be implemented and how these will mitigate or avoid the identified impacts.

#### **4.2.2.8 Lighting**

Management of the lighting of the MGOPD, during all stages, is to be provided, with particular reference to objectives to be achieved and management methods to be implemented to mitigate or avoid:

- the visual impact at night;

- night operations/maintenance and effects of lighting on fauna and residents;
- the potential impact of increased vehicular traffic; and
- changed habitat conditions for nocturnal fauna and associated impacts.

### 4.3 Transport

The transport section of the EIS should have separate subsections describing infrastructure associated with the relevant modes of transport, such as road, rail, air and sea.

#### 4.3.1 Description of existing infrastructure and values

Provide details of the proposed use of existing infrastructure for the transport of materials, products or wastes to and from the MGOPD site. Also provide details, either in the transport section of the EIS or by cross reference to other sections, of the environmental values that would be affected by the altered use of existing transport infrastructure or the construction of new or altered infrastructure. The EIS should provide details of any project related plant or utilities within, or impacting on, the jurisdiction of any transport authority. Also provide details of the likely traffic to be generated by workforce personnel and service providers.

For road and rail transport, describe separately and in detail the existing or new road or rail networks that would be used by the project. Provide illustrations of the network at suitable scales. For each mode of transport and each phase of the project, the EIS should describe: the expected volumes and weights of materials, products, hazardous goods or wastes; the likely number and timing of trips; the types of vehicles to be used; and the routes. The description should include, but not necessarily be limited to, details of access and haul roads, realignments and load-out facilities, and level crossings used by any transport associated with the project. The description should also address the current levels of safety, efficiency and condition of each potentially affected network. Provide details of any heavy or oversized loads, including the number and type of vehicles, with a description of the likely timing and routes of those loads highlighting any vulnerable bridges or other structures along the proposed routes.

In relation to air transport, describe the existing, new, and/or altered air fields and associated infrastructure that would be used by the project. Describe the likely additional number of flights, frequency, timing (particularly any increase in night arrivals or take-offs), and size of aircraft. Describe any features of the project that could impact on air transport (e.g. the placement of waste dumps, stacks or flares beneath flight paths).

In relation to the importation or export of materials and products, the EIS should identify any aspects of the project that will increase the shipment of materials through any port.

#### 4.3.2 Potential impacts and mitigation measures

The EIS should provide sufficient information to make an independent assessment of how transport infrastructure will be affected by each phase of the MGOPD at a local and regional level. Similarly, sufficient information should be provided to make an independent assessment of how transport used by the project will impact on environmental values. In both cases, the impacts along the whole length of each affected route should be discussed and measures proposed to avoid or mitigate the impacts.

Details should be provided of the:

- results of any modelling of transport impacts;
- assessment methodology used, including a summary of consultation undertaken with transport authorities regarding the scope of the impact assessment and methodology to be used;
- base data assumptions, including an assessment of the current condition of the affected network and its performance;
- possible interruptions to transport operations; and
- likelihood and nature of spills of products or hazardous materials during transport, prevention measures to be used, and the requirements for dealing with any spills.

This section of the EIS should outline, and cross-reference to more detailed descriptions with the EIS, the impacts of transport associated with the project on amenity, human health and ecological values as a result of dust, noise, vibration and any other environmental effects.

The assessment of road impacts should be in accordance with the latest version of the Department of Transport and Main Road's *Guidelines for Assessment of Road Impacts of Development*, available from the website: <http://www.mainroads.qld.gov.au>.

In relation to road impacts, the EIS should include an assessment of impacts on:

- the safety, efficiency and condition of road operations and assets;
- any existing or proposed pedestrian cycle networks;
- any existing public transport networks (assets and services); and
- watercourses and overland flows, and their interaction with the current and future road network (note: impacts on water values due to transport infrastructure should be outlined in the transport section of the EIS and cross-referenced to a detailed assessment in the water resources section).

The EIS should assess the potential impacts of the project on the rail network, including the impacts on any currently non-operational sections of rail corridor.

The EIS should assess: any impacts of the project on existing air fields and flight paths; any impacts on environmental values due to the need to redevelop or construct new airfields; and any impacts on amenity due to increased air traffic. The proposal and assessment should have regard to State Planning Policy 1/02: *Development in the Vicinity of Certain Airports and Aviation Facilities*. With regard to air safety, matters to be assessed include the raising of landforms or the construction of stacks, flares or lighting within flight paths.

If the works that could result in impacts, or the associated mitigation works for identified impacts, are the responsibility of the proponent then the EIS should fully assess those impacts, detail the mitigation works and carry the environmental protection commitments forward into the project's EM plan.

If the proponent will not be responsible for the works associated with the impacts the EIS should clearly identify the entity that will be responsible and what approvals would be needed. Nevertheless, in this case, the EIS should provide enough assessment of the likely impacts of all associated activities for the regulatory authorities to have confidence that approval of the project subject to this EIS process would not have unacceptable flow-on impacts due to necessary works farther down the transport chain.

The proponent should detail measures to avoid or mitigate impacts on each transport mode. The mitigation measures should ensure the safety, efficiency and condition of each mode is maintained. These mitigation measures are to be prepared by the proponent in close consultation with the relevant transport authorities. Any residual impacts that cannot be avoided should be identified and quantified.

Mitigation strategies must include:

- consideration of any transport authority's works program and forward planning;
- proposed construction plans of all required transport infrastructure works in accordance with relevant and accepted authority standards and practices;
- the responsible parties for any works;
- estimates of costs;
- details on the timing of the works; and
- a summary of relevant approvals and legislative requirements needed to implement mitigation strategies and transport infrastructure works required by the project.

#### **4.4 Waste**

This section should complement other sections of part 4 of the EIS by providing technical details of waste treatment and minimisation, with proposed emission, discharge and disposal criteria, while other sections describe how those emissions, discharges and disposals would impact on the relevant environmental values. The purpose of this format is to concentrate the technical information on waste management into one section in order to facilitate its transfer into the EM plan.

#### 4.4.1 Description of environmental values

This section should introduce and briefly describe the existing environment values that may be affected by the MGOPD's wastes. Refer to each of the waste streams described in section 3.6 and provide references to more detailed descriptions of the relevant environmental values in other sections of part 4 of the EIS.

#### 4.4.2 Potential impacts and mitigation measures

The purpose of this section is to bring together a description of the preferred methods (and discuss any alternatives) to be used to deal with waste streams and outline their impacts. The full description of the magnitude and nature of impacts on particular environmental values due to the management of waste should be provided in the relevant sections of part 4 of the EIS.

This section defines and describes the objectives and practical measures for protecting or enhancing environmental values from impacts by wastes, describes how nominated quantitative standards and indicators may be achieved for waste management, and how the achievement of the objectives will be monitored, audited and managed.

As part of the description, and except where issues related to excavated waste have been addressed in section 4.2 (in which case reference should be made to the appropriate subsection), this section should provide details of each waste in terms of:

- operational handling and fate of all wastes including storage;
- on-site treatment methods proposed for the wastes;
- methods of disposal (including the need to transport wastes off-site for disposal) proposed to be used for any trade wastes, liquid wastes and solid wastes;
- hazards associated with the handling, storage and deposition of wastes, particularly those containing cyanide as a free species or in complex with metals;
- the potential level of impact on environmental values;
- proposed discharge/disposal criteria for liquid and solid wastes;
- measures to ensure stability of the dumps and impoundments should be described;
- methods to prevent, seepage and contamination of surface water or groundwater from stockpiles, dumps or tailings dams should be given;
- design criteria to be used to ensure that waste containment and/or storage facilities perform satisfactorily;
- market demand for recyclable waste (where appropriate) should be addressed;
- waste minimisation techniques proposed;
- measures to ensure wastes do not attract or propagate pests, disease vectors or vermin, and do not impact on public health; and
- decommissioning of the site.

The EIS should describe options for removing or reducing cyanide from the waste streams entering tailings storage, and develop a preferred option. The EIS should provide predictions of the concentrations of cyanide, in total and in relevant chemical species, resulting from the preferred option for all components of the waste streams, including settled solids and supernatant effluent in tailings storage facilities.

Having regard to the Environmental Protection (Waste Management) Policy 2000 (EPP(Waste)), the EIS should indicate the results of investigation into the feasibility of using waste minimisation and cleaner technology options during all phases of the MGOPD. Waste minimisation and treatment, and the application of cleaner production techniques, should also be applied to gaseous wastes, particularly methane, nitrogen oxides, sulfur oxides, particulates and carbon dioxide. Particular attention should be paid to measures, which will maximise energy efficiency and minimise internal energy consumption in the proposal.

Cleaner production waste management planning should be detailed especially as to how these concepts have been applied to preventing or minimising environmental impacts at each stage of the proposal. Details on natural resource use efficiency (e.g. energy and water), integrated processing design, and any co-generation of power and by-product reuse as shown in a material/energy flow analysis are required.



## 4.5 Water resources

### 4.5.1 Description of environmental values

This section describes the existing environment for water resources that may be affected by the proposal in the context of environmental values as defined or considered in such documents as the *Environmental Protection Act 1994*, Environmental Protection (Water) Policy 1997 (EPP(Water)), *Australian Water Quality Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Waters* (ANZECC & ARMICANZ, 2000), the DERM Guideline: *Establishing draft environmental values and water quality objectives* and the *Queensland Water Quality Guidelines 2009*. The definition of waters in the EPP(Water) includes the bed and banks of waters, so this section should address benthic sediments as well as the water column.

#### 4.5.1.1 Surface waterways

A description should be given of the surface watercourses and their quality and quantity in the area affected by the MGOPD with an outline of the significance of these waters to the river catchment system in which they occur. Details provided should include a description of existing surface drainage patterns, and flows in major streams and wetlands. Also provide details of the likelihood of flooding, history of flooding including extent, levels and frequency, and a description of present and potential water uses downstream of the areas affected by the proposal. Flood studies should include a range of annual exceedance probabilities for affected waterways, based on observed data if available or use appropriate modelling techniques and conservative assumptions if there are no suitable observations. The flood modelling assessment should include local flooding due to short duration events from contributing catchments on site, as well as larger scale regional flooding including waterways downstream.

The EIS should provide a description, with photographic evidence, of the geomorphic condition of any watercourses likely to be affected by disturbance or stream diversion. The results of this description should form the basis for the planning and subsequent monitoring of rehabilitation of the watercourses during or after the operation of the MGOPD.

An assessment is required of existing water quality in surface waters and wetlands likely to be affected by the MGOPD. The basis for this assessment should be site monitoring data, with sampling stations located upstream and downstream of the proposal and at reference locations i.e. non-impacted sites. Downstream monitoring will include sites located near to the proposed discharge points in addition to relevant downstream locations. Sites will include permanent and semi permanent water holes, known aquatic habitat, weirs or reservoirs. Complementary stream-flow data should also be obtained from historical records (if available) to aid in interpretation.

The water quality should be described, including seasonal variations or variations with flow where applicable. Monitoring of ephemeral streams will primarily focus on all times of natural flow. A relevant range of physical, chemical and biological parameters should be measured to gauge the environmental harm on any affected creek or wetland system. This will include but not necessarily be limited to water quality indicators likely to be affected by the proposal such as electrical conductivity, dissolved metals, turbidity, suspended sediments and pH. Biological indicators should include macroinvertebrate assessment at appropriate locations according to published methods. All sampling should be performed in accordance with the *Water Quality Sampling Manual* (EPA, 1999) or the most current edition.

Describe the environmental values of the surface waterways potentially affected by the proposed activities in terms of:

- values identified in the Environmental Protection (Water) Policy 2009;
- sustainability, including both quality and quantity;
- physical integrity, fluvial processes and morphology of watercourses, including riparian zone vegetation and form; and
- any water resource plans, land and water management plans relevant to the affected catchment.

Surface water quality objectives should be determined after consideration of the EPP(Water), ANZECC & ARMICANZ water quality guidelines (2000), the Queensland Water Quality Guidelines (2009) and local reference data.

#### 4.5.1.2 Groundwater

The EIS should review the quality, quantity and significance of groundwater in the proposal area, together with groundwater use in neighbouring areas.

The review should include survey data of existing groundwater supply facilities (bores, wells, or excavations) to the extent of any environmental harm. The information to be gathered for analysis is to include:

- location;
- pumping parameters;
- draw down and recharge at normal pumping rates; and
- seasonal variations (if records exist) of groundwater levels.

A monitoring program, including a network of observation points which would satisfactorily monitor groundwater resources both before and after commencement of operations should be developed and described in the EIS.

This section of the EIS should address the nature and hydrology of the aquifers and provide a description of the:

- geology/stratigraphy - such as alluvium, volcanic, metamorphic;
- aquifer type - such as confined, unconfined, karst or perched;
- depth to and thickness of the aquifers.
- the significance of the resource at a local and regional scale;
- depth to water level and seasonal changes in levels;
- groundwater flow directions (defined from water level contours);
- interaction with surface water;
- possible sources of recharge; and
- vulnerability to pollution.

The data obtained from the groundwater survey should be sufficient to enable specification of the major ionic species, pH, electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids and any potentially toxic or harmful substances.

Describe the environmental values of the underground waters of the affected area in terms of:

- values identified in the Environmental Protection (Water) Policy;
- sustainability, including both quality and quantity; and
- physical integrity, fluvial processes and morphology of groundwater resources.

#### 4.5.2 Potential impacts and mitigation measures

This section is to assess potential impacts on water resource environmental values identified in the previous section. It will also define and describe the objectives and practical measures for protecting or enhancing water resource environmental values, to describe how nominated quantitative standards and indicators may be achieved, and how the achievement of the objectives will be monitored, audited and managed.

The EIS should describe the possible environmental harm caused by the MGOPD to environmental values for water as expressed in the Environmental Protection (Water) Policy. The DERM Operational Policy *Waste water discharge to Queensland waters* may be consulted for guidance on how discharge proposals will be assessed.

Where a licence or permit will be required under the *Water Act 2000* to take or interfere with the flow of water, this section of the EIS should provide sufficient information for a decision to be made on the application. Similarly, waterway barrier works may need approval under the *Fisheries Act 1994*, and if so should be addressed in the EIS.

Water management controls should be described, addressing surface and groundwater quality, quantity, drainage patterns and sediment movements. The beneficial (environmental, production and recreational) use of nearby surface and groundwater should be discussed, along with the proposal for the diversion of affected creeks during mining, and the stabilisation of those works. Monitoring programs should be described which will

assess the effectiveness of management strategies for protecting water quality during the construction, operation and decommissioning of the proposal.

Key water management strategy objectives include:

- protection of the integrity of the marine environment;
- protection of important local aquifers and protection of their waters;
- maintenance of sufficient quantity and quality of surface waters to protect existing beneficial downstream uses of those waters (including maintenance of in-stream biota and the littoral zone); and
- minimisation of impacts on flooding levels and frequencies both upstream and downstream of the project.

Conduct a risk assessment, based on conservative water quality estimates and hydrology, for uncontrolled emissions to water due to system or catastrophic failure, implications of such emissions for human health and natural ecosystems, and provide detailed mitigation measures to prevent, minimise and contain impacts.

A description and assessment, including feasibility, is to be provided of contingency measures proposed to be used to manage any leachate or seepage from tailings storages, either during operations or following decommissioning of the mine and its rehabilitation.

#### **4.5.2.1 Surface water and water courses**

The potential environmental harm to the flow and the quality of surface waters from all phases of the MGOPD should be discussed, with particular reference to their suitability for the current and potential downstream uses, including the requirements of any affected riparian area, wetland, estuary, littoral zone, and any marine and in-stream biological uses. The impacts of surface water flow on existing infrastructure should be considered with reference to the Environmental Protection (Water) Policy 1997 and *Water Act 2000*.

The hydrological impacts of the proposal should be assessed, particularly with regard to: stream diversions (whether temporary or permanent); scouring and erosion and changes to flooding levels and frequencies both upstream and downstream of the project. When flooding levels will be affected, modelling of afflux should be provided and illustrated with maps. The EIS should describe and illustrate how an operating pit would be protected from flooding. It should similarly address the flood protection level of any final void without the need to maintain levees.

An assessment should be provided of the potential impacts on all local and downstream waters due to any controlled or uncontrolled discharges from the site. If controlled discharges are proposed, stream flow data will be used in combination with proposed discharge rates to estimate in-stream dilution and water quality. Assessment should be provided of the available assimilative capacity of the receiving waters given existing background levels and other potential point source discharges in the catchment. Options for controlled discharge under times of natural stream flow should be investigated to ensure that adequate flushing of waste water is achieved.

Quality characteristics discussed should be those appropriate to the downstream and upstream water uses that may be affected. Chemical and physical properties of any waste water (including concentrations of constituents) at the point of entering natural surface waters should be discussed along with toxicity of effluent constituents to human health, flora and fauna. Consideration should be given to impacts on seawater quality due to discharge from the site.

Reference should be made to the properties of the land disturbed and processing plant wastes, the technology for settling suspended clays from contaminated water, and the techniques to be employed to ensure that contaminated water is contained and successfully treated on the site.

In relation to water supply and usage, and wastewater disposal, the EIS should discuss anticipated flows of water to and from the proposal area. Where dams, weirs or ponds are proposed, the EIS should investigate the effects of predictable climatic extremes (storm events, floods and droughts) on: the capacity of the dams to retain contaminants; the structural integrity of the containing walls; and the quality of water contained, and flows and quality of water discharged. The flood immunity level as annual exceedance probability is to be demonstrated for proposed tailings storages and dams on site. The EIS should also describe and assess methods that would sustainably protect decommissioned and rehabilitated tailings storages from the effects of rainfall runoff and flooding for the foreseeable future. The design of all water storage facilities should follow the current technical guidelines on site water management.

The need or otherwise for licensing of any dams (including referable dams) or creek diversions, under the *Water Act 2000* should be discussed. Water allocation and water sources should be established in consultation with DERM.

Assess the impacts on water resources of any dams and roads and other infrastructure related to the MGOPD and propose management measures for identified impacts.

Having regard for the requirements of the Environmental Protection (Water) Policy, the EIS should present the methods to avoid stormwater contamination by raw materials, wastes or products and present the means of containing, recycling, reusing, treating and disposing of stormwater. Where no-release water systems are to be used, the fate of salts and particulates derived from intake water should be discussed.

*Australian Water Quality Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Waters* (ANZECC& ARMCANZ, 2000), *Queensland Water Quality Guidelines* (2009) and the Environmental Protection (Water) Policy 2009 should be used as a reference for evaluating the effects of various levels of contamination.

Options for mitigation and the effectiveness of mitigation measures should be discussed with particular reference to sediment, acidity, salinity and other emissions of a hazardous or toxic nature to human health, flora or fauna.

Where it is proposed that creeks will be diverted, the EIS should detail how rehabilitation will affect both the physical and ecological condition of the creek's bed and banks and the quality of water in it. Furthermore, the EIS should describe the monitoring that will be undertaken after decommissioning, and who will have responsibility for management measures and corrective action, to ensure that rehabilitated creeks do not degrade.

#### **4.5.2.2 Groundwater**

The EIS should include an assessment of the potential environmental harm caused by the MGOPD to local groundwater resources, particularly those of the karst aquifers that extend into nearby National Park areas.

The impact assessment should define the extent of the area within which groundwater resources are likely to be affected by the proposed operations and the significance of the proposal to groundwater depletion or recharge, and propose management options available to monitor and mitigate these effects. The response of the groundwater resource to the progression and finally cessation of the proposal should be described.

An assessment should be undertaken of the impact of the proposal on the local ground water regime caused by the altered porosity and permeability of any land disturbance.

An assessment of the potential to contaminate groundwater resources and measures to prevent, mitigate and remediate such contamination should be discussed.

## **4.6 Air**

### **4.6.1 Description of environmental values**

This section describes the existing air environment that may be affected by the MGOPD. The following topics may be addressed (note - the topics are not an exhaustive treatment of all possible air or impacts).

A description of the existing air shed environment should be provided having regard for particulates and gaseous and odorous compounds. The EIS should discuss the background levels and sources of suspended particulates, SO<sub>x</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, and any other relevant constituent, whether major or minor, of the air environment that may be affected by the proposal.

Sufficient data on local meteorology and ambient levels of pollutants should be gathered to provide a baseline for later studies or for the modelling of air quality environmental impacts within the air shed. Parameters should include air temperature, wind speed and direction, atmospheric stability, mixing depth and other parameters necessary for input to the models.

#### **4.6.1.1 Greenhouse gas emissions**

This section of the EIS should:

- provide an inventory of projected annual emissions for each relevant greenhouse gas, with total emissions expressed in 'CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent' terms;

- estimate emissions from upstream activities associated with the proposed project, including fossil fuel based electricity consumed; and
- briefly describe method(s) by which estimates were made.

The Australian Department of Climate Change's *National Greenhouse Accounts (NGA) Factors* (available via the internet) can be used as a reference source for emission estimates and supplemented by other sources where practicable and appropriate. Estimates of emissions resulting from such activities as transportation of products and consumables, and energy use should be included.

#### 4.6.2 Potential impacts and mitigation measures

This section defines and describes the objectives and practical measures for protecting or enhancing environmental values for air, to describe how nominated quantitative standards and indicators may be achieved, and how the achievement of the objectives will be monitored, audited and managed.

For other than insignificant emissions, undertake an impact assessment with relevant inputs of emissions and local meteorology using an air dispersion model to provide estimates of the likely impacts on the surrounding environment. The predicted average ground level concentrations at nearby sensitive receptors should be modelled and described. These predictions should be made for both normal and expected maximum emission conditions and the worst case meteorological conditions should be identified and modelled where necessary. The techniques used to obtain the predictions should be referenced and key assumptions and data sets explained. The objectives for air emissions should be stated in respect of relevant legislation, emission guidelines and standards (ambient and ground level concentrations). The potential for interaction between the emissions from the processing plant and emissions in the air shed, and the likely environmental harm from any such interaction, should also be detailed.

The assessment of the proposal's impact on air quality should include at least the following matters:

- A full description of the activities carried out on the site and the surrounding environment that could affect air quality.
- Process flow diagram clearly showing all unit operations to be carried out on the premises and detailed discussion of all unit operations relevant to air emissions.
- Description of all pollution control equipment and pollution control techniques employed on the premises and the features of the proposal designed to suppress or minimise emissions including dusts.
- Conduct air emission inventory of the proposed site for all potential point, line, area and volume sources including fugitive emissions of dusts. Provide a complete list of emissions to the atmosphere including SO<sub>x</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, particulates, PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, trace metals and hazardous substances.
- Estimation of emission rates should be based on actual measurements on samples taken from similar facilities, either full-scale facilities operating elsewhere. Where this is not possible, use published emission factors and/or data supplied by manufacturers of process and control equipment.
- The proposed level of emissions must be compared with the best practice national and international source emission standards.
- Undertake an impact assessment with relevant inputs of emissions and local meteorology to an air dispersion model to provide estimates of the likely impacts on the surrounding environment. The model inputs should be as detailed as possible, reflecting any variation of emissions with time and including at least a full year of representative hourly meteorological data. Estimate ground level concentration (GLC) at the nearest sensitive receptor(s) based on 1-hour average for maximum (99.9 percentile) values. Results of the dispersion modelling must be presented as concentration contour plots and frequency contour plots. The predicted average ground level concentration should be made for both normal and expected maximum emission conditions and the worst case meteorological conditions should be identified and modelled where necessary. Ground level predictions should be made at any residential, industrial and agricultural developments believed to be sensitive to the effects of predicted emissions. The techniques used to obtain the predictions should be referenced, and key assumptions and data sets explained.
- Describe the background ambient air concentration from the existing sources in the airshed and evaluate the cumulative impact on the receiving environment. Address both acute and cumulative impacts by considering the project in conjunction with existing and known future emission sources within the region.

- The assessment of proposed levels of emissions of dust and fumes should include emissions during both normal and upset conditions. Consideration should be given to the range of potential upset condition scenarios and the air emissions that may be generated as a result.

The assessment of the MGO PD's impact on air quality should consider and describe:

- the air quality modelling results in light of the limitations and accuracy of the applied atmospheric dispersion models;
- the air quality results with relevance to the goals in the Environmental Protection (Air) Policy 2008 and the National Environment Protection (Ambient Air Quality) Measure;
- air shed management and the contribution of the MGO PD to air shed capacity i.e. in view of existing and future users of the air shed for assimilation and dispersion of emissions;
- the potential human health risk associated with emissions from the operation of all hazardous or toxic contaminants whether they are or are not covered by the National Environment Protection Council (Ambient Air Quality) Measure or the Environmental Protection (Air) Policy 2008; and
- the limitations and accuracy of the applied atmospheric dispersion models. The air quality modelling results should be discussed in light of the limitations and accuracy of the applied models.

For any proposal that does not meet the Environmental Protection (Air) Policy 2008 air quality objectives, the proponent should undertake a risk assessment of the potential for adverse impact off-site. Risk management strategies should be developed that identify options that will reduce exposure of local communities to levels of indicators that may be of concern and enable the proponent to meet the objectives of Environmental Protection (Air) Policy 2008.

If odour could be an issue, the EIS should include an odour impact assessment using the criteria described in the DERM Guideline *Odour Impact Assessment from Developments*.

The EIS should define and describe measures to suppress or minimise emissions, including dust from all potential emission sources. The environmental impact/nuisance of dust caused by the transportation by road should also be addressed as part of the EIS process.

#### **4.6.2.1 Greenhouse gas abatement**

This section of the EIS should propose and assess greenhouse gas abatement measures. It should include:

- a description of the proposed measures (alternatives and preferred) to avoid and/or minimise greenhouse gas emissions directly resulting from activities of the project, including such activities as transportation of products and consumables, and energy use by the project;
- an assessment of how the preferred measures minimise emissions and achieve energy efficiency,
- a comparison of the preferred measures for emission controls and energy consumption with best practice environmental management in the relevant sector of industry; and
- a description of any opportunities for further offsetting greenhouse gas emissions through indirect means.

Direct means of reducing greenhouse gas emissions could include such measures as:

- minimising clearing at the site (which also has imperatives besides reducing greenhouse gas emissions);
- using less carbon-emitting transport modes or fuels;
- integrating transport for the project with other local industries such that greenhouse gas emissions from the construction and running of transport infrastructure are minimised;
- maximising the use of renewable energy sources; and

Indirect means of reducing greenhouse gas emissions could include such measures as:

- carbon sequestration at nearby or remote locations, either:
  - above ground by such means as planting trees and other vegetation to achieve greater biomass than that cleared for the project; or
  - below ground by geosequestration.

- carbon trading through recognised markets.

The environmental management plan in the EIS should include a specific module to address greenhouse abatement. That module should include:

- commitments to the abatement of greenhouse gas emissions from the project with details of the intended objectives, measures and performance standards to avoid, minimise and control emissions,
- commitments to energy management, including undertaking periodic energy audits with a view to progressively improving energy efficiency;
- a process for regular review of new technologies to identify opportunities to reduce emissions and use energy efficiently, consistent with best practice environmental management;
- any voluntary initiatives such as projects undertaken as a component of the national Greenhouse Challenge Plus program, or research into reducing the lifecycle and embodied energy carbon intensity of the project's processes or products;
- opportunities for offsetting greenhouse emissions, including, if appropriate, carbon sequestration and renewable energy uses; and
- commitments to monitor, audit and report on greenhouse emissions from all relevant activities and the success of offset measures.

#### **4.6.2.2 Climate change adaptation**

Climate change, through alterations to weather patterns and rising sea level, has the potential to impact in the future on developments designed now. Most developments involve the transfer to, or use by, a proponent of a community resource in one form or another, such as the granting of a non-renewable resource or the approval to discharge pollutants to air, water or land. Therefore, it is important that the project design be adaptive to climate change so that community resources are not depreciated by projects that would be abandoned or require costly modification before their potential to provide a full return to the community is realised.

Consequently, the EIS should provide an assessment of the project's vulnerabilities to climate change and describe possible adaptation strategies for the activity including:

- a risk assessment of how changing patterns of rainfall and hydrology, temperature, extreme weather and sea level (where appropriate) may affect the viability and environmental management of the project.
- the preferred and alternative adaptation strategies to be implemented; and
- commitments to undertaking, where practicable, a cooperative approach with government, other industry and other sectors to address adaptation to climate change.

DERM recognises that predictions of climate change and its effects have inherent uncertainties, and that a balance must be found between the costs of preparing for climate change and the uncertainty of outcomes. Nevertheless, proponents should use their best efforts to incorporate adaptation to climate change in their EIS and project design.

## **4.7 Noise and vibration**

### **4.7.1 Description of environmental values**

This section describes the existing environment values that may be affected by noise and vibration from the MGOPD.

An assessment of the potential for the MGOPD to adversely impact on the local noise environment should be undertaken. If an assessment indicates that the proposed activity could adversely impact on the noise environment, additional baseline monitoring may be required at sensitive receptors affected by the proposal. Noise sensitive places are defined in the *Environmental Protection (Noise) Policy* 2008. Long-term measured background noise levels that take into account seasonal variations are required. The locations of sensitive receptors should be identified on a map at a suitable scale. The results of any baseline monitoring of noise and vibration in the proposed vicinity of the proposal should be described. Sufficient data should be gathered to provide a baseline for later studies. The daily variation of background noise levels at nearby sensitive receptors should be monitored and reported in the EIS, with particular regard given to detailing variations at different periods of the night. Monitoring methods should adhere to accepted best practice methodologies, relevant

Environmental Protection Agency guidelines and Australian Standards, and any relevant requirements of the *Environmental Protection Regulation 2008* and the *Environmental Protection (Noise) Policy 2008*.

Comment should be provided on any current activities near the proposal area that may cause a background level of ground vibration (for example: major roads, quarrying activities, etc.).

#### **4.7.2 Potential impacts and mitigation measures**

This section defines and describes the objectives and practical measures for protecting or enhancing environmental values from impacts by noise and vibration, describes how nominated quantitative standards and indicators may be achieved for noise and vibration management, and how the achievement of the objectives will be monitored, audited and managed. The assessment of noise impacts should include matters raised in the document *The health effects of environmental noise – other than hearing loss* published by the Health Council, 2004 (or later editions), ISBN 0 642 82304 9.

Information, including mapped noise contours from a suitable acoustic model, should be submitted based on the proposed generation of noise. The potential environmental harm of noise and vibration at all potentially sensitive receptors should be quantified in terms of objectives, standards and indicators to be achieved. Particular consideration should be given to emissions of low-frequency noise; that is, noise with components below 200Hz. The assessment should also include environmental impacts on terrestrial animals and avifauna, including migratory species. The assessment must include an assessment of noise on nearby protected areas, which are defined as a sensitive receptor in the EPP(Noise). The assessment of impacts on protected areas should address not only the amenity of the areas as required by the EPP(Noise), but also the impacts on fauna. Proposed measures for the minimisation or elimination of impacts should be provided, including details and illustrations of any screening, lining, enclosing or bunding. A discussion should be provided of timing schedules for construction and operations with respect to minimising environmental nuisance and harm from noise.

Information should be supplied on blasting which might cause ground vibration or fly rock on, or adjacent to, the site with particular attention given to places of work, residence, recreation, conservation and general amenity. The magnitude, duration and frequency of any vibration should be discussed. A discussion should be provided of measures to prevent or minimise environmental nuisance and harm. Reference should be made to the DERM Guideline: *Noise and vibration from blasting*.

The assessment should also address off-site noise and vibration impacts that could arise due to increased road or rail transportation directly resulting from the project.

### **4.8 Nature conservation**

#### **4.8.1 Description of environmental values**

This section describes the existing environment values for nature conservation that may be affected by the MGOPD.

Describe the environmental values of nature conservation for the affected area in terms of:

- integrity of ecological processes, including habitats of rare and threatened species;
- conservation of resources;
- biological diversity, including habitats of rare and threatened species;
- integrity of landscapes and places including wilderness and similar natural places; and
- aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems.

A discussion should be presented on the nature conservation values of the areas likely to be affected by the proposal. The flora and fauna communities which are rare or threatened, environmentally sensitive localities including waterways, riparian zone, and littoral zone, rainforest remnants, old growth indigenous forests, wilderness and habitat corridors should be described. The description should include a plant species list, a vegetation map at appropriate scale and an assessment of the significance of native vegetation, from a local and regional and state perspective. The description should indicate any areas of state or regional significance identified in an approved biodiversity planning assessment (BPA) produced by DERM (e.g. see the draft Regional Nature Conservation Strategy for SE Qld 2001-2006).



Survey effort should be sufficient to identify, or adequately extrapolate, the floral and faunal values over the range of seasons, particularly during and following a wet season. A major part of the survey effort should be undertaken between 1 February and 31 March, assuming the wet season follows a typical pattern. The survey should account for the ephemeral nature of watercourses traversing the proposal area, and seasonal variation in fauna populations.

The EIS should identify sensitive areas, or areas that may have low resilience to environmental change, in proximity to the project or its associated activities. Areas of special sensitivity include wetlands, wildlife breeding or roosting areas, any significant habitat or relevant bird flight paths for migratory species, bat roosting and breeding caves including existing structures such as adits and shafts, and habitat of threatened plants, animals and communities.

Areas regarded as sensitive with respect to flora and fauna have one or more of the following features (and which should be identified, mapped, avoided or effects minimised):

- protected areas, including nature refuges, which have been proclaimed under the *Nature Conservation Act 1992* and *Marine Parks Act 1982* or are under consideration for proclamation;
- critical habitat identified under the *Nature Conservation Act 1992*;
- vegetation mapped as essential habitat;
- sites listed under international treaties such as Ramsar wetlands and World Heritage areas;
- important habitats of species listed under the *Nature Conservation Act 1992* and/or *Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* as presumed extinct, endangered, critically endangered, vulnerable or rare;
- regional ecosystems listed as 'endangered' or 'of concern' under State legislation, and/or ecosystems listed as presumed extinct, endangered, critically endangered or vulnerable under the *Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*;
- good representative examples of remnant regional ecosystems or regional ecosystems which are described as having 'medium' or 'low' representation in the protected area estate as defined in the Regional Ecosystem Description Database (REDD) available at DERM's website;
- high value regrowth vegetation;
- sites containing near threatened or bio-regionally significant species or essential, viable habitat for near threatened or bio-regionally significant species;
- sites in, or adjacent to, areas containing important resting, feeding or breeding sites for migratory species of conservation concern listed under the Convention of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, and/or bilateral agreements between Australia and Japan (JAMBA), Australia and China (CAMBA), or Australia and the Republic of Korea (ROKAMBA);
- sites containing common species which represent a distributional limit and are of scientific value or which contains feeding, breeding, resting areas for populations of species of special cultural significance;
- sites containing high biodiversity that are of a suitable size or with connectivity to corridors/protected areas to ensure survival in the longer term; such land may contain:
  - natural vegetation in good condition or other habitat in good condition (e.g. wetlands); and/or
  - degraded vegetation or other habitats that still supports high levels of biodiversity or acts as an important corridor for maintaining high levels of biodiversity in the area;
- a site containing other special ecological values, for example, high habitat diversity and areas of high endemism;
- ecosystems which provide important ecological functions such as: wetlands of national, state and regional significance; riparian vegetation; important buffer to a protected area or important habitat corridor between areas;
- sites of palaeontologic significance such as fossil sites; or
- sites of geomorphological significance, such as lava tubes or karst.

The Queensland *Vegetation Management Act 1999* and the findings of any regional vegetation management plan should also be referenced.

The occurrence of pest plants and animals in the project area should be described.

#### **4.8.1.1 Terrestrial flora**

Provide a map of terrestrial vegetation at a suitable scale with descriptions of the units mapped. Sensitive or important vegetation types should be highlighted, including riparian vegetation, and their value as habitat for fauna and conservation of specific rare floral and faunal assemblages or community types. The existence of rare or threatened species should be specifically addressed. The surveys should include species structure, assemblage, diversity and abundance. The description should contain a review of published information regarding the assessment of the significance of the vegetation to conservation, recreation, scientific, educational and historical interests.

The location of any horticultural crops in the vicinity of the site should be shown. The existence of important local and regional weed species should also be discussed.

Vegetation mapping should provide vegetation mapping for all relevant project sites including new transport infrastructure, port facilities and irrigation land if relevant. Adjacent areas should also be mapped to illustrate interconnectivity. Mapping should also illustrate any larger scale interconnections between areas of remnant or regrowth vegetation where the project site includes a corridor connecting those other areas.

The terrestrial vegetation communities within the affected areas should be described at an appropriate scale (maximum 1:10,000) with mapping produced from aerial photographs and ground truthing, showing the following:

- location and extent of vegetation types using DERM's regional ecosystem type descriptions in accordance with the REDD;
- location of vegetation types of conservation significance based on DERM's regional ecosystem types and occurrence of species listed as protected plants under the *Nature Conservation (Wildlife) Regulation 2006* and any subsequent amendments, as well as areas subject to the *Vegetation Management Act 1999*;
- the current extent (bioregional and catchment) of protected vegetation types of conservation significance within the protected area estate (national parks, conservation parks, resource reserves, nature refuges);
- any plant communities of cultural, commercial or recreational significance should be identified; and
- location and abundance of any exotic or weed species.

Within each defined (standard system) vegetation community, a minimum of three sites (numbers should be discussed with DERM) should be surveyed for plant species, preferably in both the wet and dry seasons, as follows:

- site data shall be recorded using the Queensland Herbarium methodology and proformas in the latest version of the *Methodology for survey and mapping of regional ecosystems and vegetation communities in Queensland*, (EPA, 2005).
- the minimum site size should be 10 by 50 metres;
- a complete list of species present at each site should be recorded;
- the relative abundance of plant species present should be recorded; and
- any plant species of conservation, cultural, commercial or recreational significance should be identified.

Plants that could not be identified during the survey will be submitted to the Queensland Herbarium for identification. Voucher specimens of plant species of conservation significance, including those listed as protected plants under the Nature Conservation (Wildlife) Regulation 2006, other than common species, are to be submitted to the Queensland Herbarium for voucher purposes. These specimens shall be collected with sufficient information to enable their lodgement as vouchers. A full list of these species will be furnished in the report.

Existing information on plant species may be used instead of new survey work provided that the data is derived from previous surveys at the site consistent with the above methodology. Methodology used for flora surveys should be specified in the appendices to the report.

#### 4.8.1.2 Terrestrial fauna

The terrestrial and riparian fauna occurring in the areas affected by the MGOPD should be described, noting the broad distribution patterns in relation to vegetation, topography and substrate. The description of the fauna present or likely to be present in the area should include:

- species diversity (i.e. a species list) and abundance of animals, including amphibians, birds, reptiles and mammals;
- any species that are poorly known but suspected of being rare or threatened;
- habitat requirements and sensitivity to changes; including movement corridors and barriers to movement;
- the existence of feral or exotic animals;
- existence of any rare, threatened or otherwise noteworthy species/communities in the study area, including discussion of range, habitat, breeding, recruitment, feeding and movement requirements, and current level of protection (e.g. any requirements of protected area management plans); and
- use of the area by migratory birds, nomadic birds, bats, and arboreal and ground-dwelling fauna.

A comprehensive vertebrate fauna survey should be undertaken of the project area at a sampling intensity that supports the scale of vegetation mapping (i.e. 1:10 000 or better). Apart from the species recorded in the survey, an indicative list of all known and potential species and threatened species in the project area should be provided, by reference to the regional ecosystems within the project area and a 100km buffer, and knowledge of species present in the local bioregion. The occurrence of fauna of conservation significance should be geocoded to mapped vegetation units or habitats, which can then be used in section 4.8.2 to propose areas to be protected.

The EIS should indicate how well any affected communities are represented and protected elsewhere in the province where the site of the proposal occurs.

#### 4.8.1.3 Aquatic biology

The aquatic flora and fauna occurring in the areas affected by the MGOPD should be described, noting the patterns and distribution in the waterways and any associated wetlands and lacustrine and marine environments. The description of the fauna and flora present or likely to be present in the area should include (where appropriate):

- fish species, mammals, reptiles, amphibians, crustaceans and aquatic invertebrates occurring in the waterways within the affected area, and/or those in any associated lacustrine environment;
- any rare or threatened species and its habitat;
- aquatic plants;
- aquatic and benthic substrate; and
- habitat downstream of the project or potentially impacted due to currents in associated lacustrine and marine environments.

The EIS should provide a description to Order or Family taxonomic rank of the presence and nature of stygofauna occurring in groundwater likely to be affected by the project. Sampling and survey methods should follow the best practice guideline which is currently that published by the Western Australian Environmental Protection Authority - *Guidance for the Assessment of Environmental Factors No.54 (December 2003) and No. 54a (August 2007)*.

#### 4.8.2 Potential impacts and mitigation measures

This section defines and describes the objectives and practical measures for protecting or enhancing nature conservation values, describes how nominated quantitative standards and indicators may be achieved for nature conservation management, and how the achievement of the objectives will be monitored, audited and managed.

The EIS should address any actions of the MGOPD or likely impacts that require an authority under the *Nature Conservation Act 1992*, and/or would be assessable development for the purposes of the *Vegetation Management Act 1999*.

The discussion should cover all likely direct and indirect environmental harm due to the project on flora and fauna particularly sensitive areas. Terrestrial and aquatic environments should also be covered. Also include human impacts and the control of any domestic animals introduced to the area.

Strategies for protecting the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park and World Heritage Property, and any rare or threatened species should be described, and any obligations imposed by State or Commonwealth legislation or policy or international treaty obligations (e.g. JAMBA, CAMBA or ROKAMBA) should be discussed.

In any groundwater aquifers found to contain stygofauna, describe the potential impacts on stygofauna of any changes in the quality and quantity of the groundwater, and describe any mitigation measures that may be applied.

Strategies for collecting and preserving any significant fossils should be described.

The potential environmental harm to the ecological values of the area arising from the construction, operation and decommissioning of the MGOPD including clearing, salvaging or removal of vegetation should be described, and the indirect effects on remaining vegetation should be discussed. Short-term and long-term effects should be considered with comment on whether the impacts are reversible or irreversible. The capacity of the environment to assimilate discharges/emissions should be assessed. The assessment should address the potential for impacts due to chronic, low-level exposure to contaminants or the bio-accumulation of contaminants.

Key flora and fauna indicators should be identified for future ongoing monitoring.

The EIS should propose and describe in detail measures to be taken to avoid and minimise potential adverse impacts of the proposal on nature conservation and biodiversity values. Any potential net loss of environmental values should be identified and quantified. Environmental offsets should be described that would counterbalance the remaining loss of environmental values. Proposed environmental offsets should be consistent with the requirements set out in the specific-issue offset policies under the framework of the Queensland Government's *Environmental Offset Policy (2008)*.

The potential environmental harm on flora and fauna due to any alterations to the local surface and ground water environment should be discussed with specific reference to environmental impacts on riparian vegetation or other sensitive vegetation communities. Measures to mitigate the environmental harm to habitat or the inhibition of normal movement, propagation or feeding patterns, and change to food chains should be described. The EIS should assess the potential impacts on fauna of wastes at the site, particularly those related to any form of cyanide or other toxicants in supernatant water of the operational tailings storage. Measures should be proposed to prevent harm to wildlife.

The provision of buffer zones and movement corridors, and strategies to minimise environmental harm on migratory, nomadic and aquatic animals should be discussed.

Weed management strategies are required for containing existing weed species (e.g. parthenium and other declared plants) and ensuring no new declared plants are introduced to the area. Feral animal management strategies and practices should also be addressed. The study should develop strategies to ensure that the project does not contribute to increased encroachment of a feral animal species or high biosecurity risk species. This should include a risk assessment of high biosecurity risk species and sites and the development of threat mitigation plans for them, such as clean down and inspections at high risk sites. The biosecurity management strategies should include mitigation measures relevant to the protection of primary production areas. When determining control strategies, reference should be made to Biosecurity Queensland's Annual Pest Distribution Survey 2008 data, published biosecurity management strategies, Local Government Pest Management Plans and any applicable model local laws dealing with locally declared pest plants and animals. The strategies for both flora and fauna should be discussed in the main body of the EIS and provided in a working form in a Pest Management Plan as part of the overall EM plan for the project.

Rehabilitation of disturbed areas should incorporate, where appropriate, provision of nest hollows and ground litter.

## 4.9 Cultural heritage

### 4.9.1 Description of environmental values

This section of the EIS should describe the existing cultural heritage values that may be affected by the MGOPD and include a description of the environmental values of the cultural landscapes of the affected area in terms of the physical and cultural integrity of the landforms.

Unless an exemption applies under s86 of the *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Act 2003*, an indigenous cultural heritage study must be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of Part 7 of that Act.

An historical cultural heritage study should also be undertaken of the known and potential historical cultural heritage values of the affected area. The study will, as a minimum, include a desktop analysis and an archaeological investigation (i.e. a physical investigation) of the area potentially affected by the project.

This desktop component of the study should, as a minimum, review the following sources for information on historical cultural heritage values within the region of the project site:

- the Queensland Heritage Register, for places already protected under the *Queensland Heritage Act 1992*;
- local government heritage registers, lists or inventories; and
- the results of previous cultural heritage studies conducted within the region of the project.

The scope of the archaeological investigation should be based upon the results of the desktop analysis. The archaeological investigation is to be conducted by an appropriately qualified person, as required by the *Queensland Heritage Act 1992*, and should address all types of historical cultural heritage places located within the project area (i.e. built, archaeological and cultural landscape values). The EIS should specifically address, but not necessarily be limited to, places of early settlement, previous mining, and historical rail infrastructure.

The discovery and protection of any previously unidentified archaeological artefacts or archaeological places during the course of the historical cultural heritage study must comply with Part 9 of the *Queensland Heritage Act 1992*.

### 4.9.2 Potential impacts and mitigation measures

This section defines and describes the objectives and practical measures for managing, protecting or enhancing cultural heritage values that may be affected by the MGOPD. It describes how practices may be implemented for the appropriate management of those values, and how the achievement of the objectives will be monitored, audited and managed.

#### 4.9.2.1 Indigenous cultural heritage

Unless an exemption applies under s86 of the *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Act 2003*, the potential impacts on indigenous cultural heritage values in the vicinity of the project must be managed under a cultural heritage management plan (CHMP) developed and approved under Part 7 of that Act. Development of the CHMP should follow the guidelines gazetted under section 85 of the *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Act 2003*. DERM's EIS Coordinator must be made aware of the progress of the CHMP approval process and of any related issues that should be addressed in the EIS assessment report.

#### 4.9.2.2 Non-indigenous historical cultural heritage

The potential impacts on non-indigenous historical cultural heritage values and their avoidance or mitigation should also be addressed in a management plan. The historical heritage management plan will specifically address identified values and provide a process for managing yet undiscovered values should they become apparent during development of the project.

The development of a historical heritage management plan should be negotiated with the lead agency (the Cultural Heritage Branch, DERM) and any other relevant stakeholders.

The historical heritage management plan should as a minimum address the following issues:

- Processes for the mitigation, management and protection of identified historical cultural heritage values during excavations of the construction, operational, rehabilitation and decommissioning phases of the project.

- Processes for reporting, as required by section 89 of the *Queensland Heritage Act 1992*, the discovery of any archaeological artefact not previously identified in the historical cultural heritage study.
- Procedures for the collection of any artefact material, including appropriate storage and conservation.
- Historical cultural heritage awareness training or programs for project staff. The training shall be provided during the site induction, and shall address the legislative requirements and practical measures for the recognition, reporting and preservation of cultural heritage material. A plain English manual summarising the training should be given to all site workers for their future reference.

The historical heritage management plan should be incorporated into the project's draft EM plan.

## 4.10 Social

The description of the social and cultural values potentially impacted by the MGOPD, and the assessment of the impacts on those values, should be conducted in consultation, through the EIS Coordinator, with the Social Impact Unit of the Department of Infrastructure and Planning, and all affected local, State and Federal government bodies.

### 4.10.1 Description of social and cultural values

This section of the EIS should define and describe the social and cultural area of influence of the MGOPD and any associated activities. It should identify key social and cultural organisations, including relevant government, quasi-non-government and non-government organisations, and other community groups. This section of the EIS should also describe the community engagement process and present its findings to date.

The EIS should describe the current population and demographics of the potentially affected communities within the project's social and cultural area of influence. Such communities include all communities likely to be impacted directly and indirectly by the project, such as the potential host communities and the source communities for the project workforce and their families. Separate population figures and demographics should be provided for affected indigenous and non-indigenous populations and communities. Characteristics to be described include:

- the community size, history, age structure, ethnic characteristics, and gender composition;
- average income profiles, including the number and proportion of low income households;
- education and skill level by age and gender;
- prevalence of disability;
- health and wellbeing indicators;
- major trends and changes in the population make-up that may be occurring irrespective of the project; and
- any additional information identified as relevant through engagement with the communities.

Describe and analyse the current employment patterns, rates and trends within the social and cultural area of influence, for the indigenous and non-indigenous populations, including:

- the locations and types of other significant places of employment;
- numbers employed in relevant industry sectors and demographic cohorts (including disadvantaged groups);
- shift patterns and hours of work;
- type and level of qualifications and skills;
- unemployment rates or shortage levels within relevant skill levels and sectors; and
- any other relevant historical or anticipated changes or shifts in these employment patterns, rates and trends.

The EIS should describe the settlement patterns and residential profile of communities within the social and cultural area of influence, including:

- household size;
- type of occupancy (e.g. families versus singles house sharing);

- length of occupancy, including generational continuity (e.g. of farming properties);
- current property values and trends;
- home ownership rates;
- the size of the private rental market;
- typical rents for the area, including trends;
- the vacancy rate of rental accommodation with an assessment of seasonal fluctuations;
- rates of housing stress, e.g. availability, affordability, and adequacy;
- comparative affordability for ownership and renting relative to other towns and centres; and
- constraints and opportunities for new housing construction in the local communities, including the capacity of the local land development and housing construction industries to provide new housing and accommodation.

The EIS should provide a profile of the current social and cultural values and the characteristics of communities, groups and individuals likely to be impacted by the proposal. The social and cultural values for the affected communities and populations should be described in terms of:

- the use of the area on and around the project site for business (including industry, agriculture, forestry, fishing, aquaculture, and education), cultural purposes (including the gathering of natural products for food, medicine or ceremonial purposes), or residential purposes;
- the historical, aesthetic, social and cultural significance of places to people who use, or have used, potentially affected places in the area;
- the sense of community;
- the integrity of social conditions, including perceptions of community cohesion and personal safety; and
- amenity, liveability, harmony and well being.

Describe the current availability of community access to recreational facilities and sites, and to social and community services and infrastructure.

Outline the current rates of crime against persons and property, and the likely rate of substance abuse as far as it is known.

Social, economic and cultural values are not as easily separated as physical and ecological values. Therefore it may be necessary for some material in this section to be cross-referenced with section 4.9, Cultural Heritage, and section 4.12, Economy.

#### **4.10.2 Potential impacts and mitigation measures**

The assessment of impacts (both beneficial and adverse) must be supported by evidence-based discussions, and be developed in consultation with all relevant government agencies and community groups. It should include information obtained so far through the project's community engagement process, and provide a description of how consultation feedback has identified and informed the assessment of impacts and the development of mitigation measures. The assessment should not consider the impacts of the project in isolation, rather it should discuss the likely direct, indirect and cumulative impacts of the project in conjunction with all known existing and planned projects within the area of influence. The assessment should address not only impacts on people and families directly affected by those matters, but also impacts on associated people and communities, such as those whose livelihoods would be affected by loss or gain of direct or indirect (e.g. service provision) employment.

With regard to its timeframe, the assessment of social impacts should cover:

- the state of affairs immediately before the project was proposed;
- the period from when people first became aware of the project until it is commissioned, should approval be given;
- the proposed active phases of the project (e.g. construction, operation and decommissioning); and

- the phase after the project ceases to the extent that there may be residual impacts.

Describe the likely impacts on population numbers in the social and cultural area of influence and the associated demographic shifts.

Describe the social impacts of changes in land use, the alienation of property and loss of connection with the land. It should also address the impacts and stresses associated with relocations.

Describe likely recruitment schedules and locations, and how recruitment during the various phases of the project will impact on employment patterns, rates, and trends within the social and cultural area of influence. The assessment should at least address the following matters:

- estimated employment rates including the number of staff to be employed, with an estimate of the numbers in the various trades and sectors (e.g. clerical staff, unskilled labour, etc.);
- estimated impacts on unemployment levels, including creation of labour shortages within skilled, semi-skilled and unskilled trades and sectors;
- employment trends such as attraction (cross-over) of workforce between trades and sectors or changes to sector numbers due to the influx of new workers or the redeployment of existing workers within the area;
- Indigenous education, training and employment initiatives
- recruitment of people from disadvantaged groups; and
- to the extent that information is available, include cumulative effects of other major employers in the area and their likely recruitment schedules.

Describe likely lay-off schedules and how reductions in the workforce at various stages will impact on employment patterns in the social and cultural area of influence. To the extent that information is available, include the cumulative effects of other major employers in the area and their likely lay-off schedules.

Describe the training opportunities to be provided during the various phases of the project, particularly for indigenous people, or people from disadvantaged groups, and describe the provisions to be made for apprenticeship and worker training schemes.

Describe where staff and their immediate families are likely to reside during the construction and operational phases, and assess the likely impacts on housing availability and affordability, including:

- the likely changes to residential patterns in the social and cultural area of influence during all stages of the project;
- the effects of the commuting model, e.g. FIFO and/or DIDO versus local residency;
- locations, size and type of any workers camps;
- purchase of existing housing for mine staff;
- changes to residential occupation patterns, e.g. families versus house sharing by groups of singles;
- construction of new family housing;
- availability of existing housing for purchase and rent, and the capability of the existing housing stock, including rental accommodation, to meet any additional demands created by the project;
- effects on property values and rents;
- effects on property marketability;
- the potential displacement of existing residents who may no longer be able to afford accommodation; and
- impacts of the project on the availability of low cost housing within the social and cultural area of influence (e.g. assess whether pressure on rents would create a need for a local authority to build low cost housing for those in the community who would not benefit economically from the project).

The assessment should address not only the impacts on residential issues due to the accommodation of workers directly employed by the project, but also those due to the numbers of contractors and service providers that may be attracted by the opportunities offered by the project. The EIS should assess the impacts arising from alternative options for accommodation and develop a preferred accommodation strategy. Identify



any approvals needed for the preferred option for new worker camps or housing, and cross-reference to those sections of the EIS that assess the potential impacts of new camps or housing.

The EIS should assess, for the various stages of the project, the demand for community services and the likely impacts on social infrastructure provided by local, State and Federal governments. The assessment should provide sufficient information for affected government authorities to make informed decisions about how the proposal may affect their business and enable them to plan for the continuing provision of social infrastructure including health, education, community services, recreational activities and other services in the region.

Assess the likely cultural pressures and shifts both for indigenous and non-indigenous cultural groups. Particular attention should be paid to the effects on:

- likely changes to cultural identities in the social and cultural area of influence;
- the ability of both indigenous and non-indigenous people, to live in accordance with their own values and priorities; and
- the use of, and access to, culturally important areas and landscapes.

The EIS should assess the likely impacts on lifestyle and amenity in the social and cultural area of influence, including:

- effects on families (and the demand for family support services) of parents being absent while on-roster;
- changes to perceptions of safety and community in the established population;
- changes to health and social wellbeing of families and communities including household consumption patterns; social dysfunction including alcohol and drugs, crime, violence, and social or cultural disruption due to population influx; and
- impacts on amenity of any changes in household composition patterns, such as sharing singles replacing families in residential areas, increased noise from social activities, and contractors parking commercial vehicles and machinery in residential areas.

Describe likely effects on the prevalence of crimes against the person and against property in the social and cultural area of influence based on evidence of equivalent social changes elsewhere.

Assess the likely adverse and beneficial social impacts of the project on local and regional service industries and the families that depend in whole or part on the income that comes from those service industries (the financial effects should be discussed in the Economy section of the EIS).

Describe the implications of the proposal for future developments in the social and cultural area of influence including constraints on surrounding land uses.

The EIS should summarise the net adverse or beneficial social impacts of the proposed project with an estimation of the overall significance of those impacts.

For identified social impacts, social impact mitigation strategies and measures should be presented to address:

- the demographic changes in the profile of the social and cultural area of influence;
- the recruitment and training of the construction and operational workforces and the social and cultural implications this may have for the host community;
- housing and accommodation issues, in consultation with relevant local authorities and state government agencies, with proposals for accommodating the project workforce and their families that avoid, mitigate or offset any short and medium term adverse effects on housing affordability and availability, including the rental market, in the social and cultural area;
- capacity of current social infrastructure, particularly health and welfare, education, policing and emergency services; and
- the adequate provision of education, training and employment for all groups, including women, people with a disability, and Indigenous people.

The proponent should describe any consultation with government agencies and the communities regarding the acceptability of proposed mitigation strategies and the implementation of practical management and monitoring regimes. The EIS should clearly indicate whether any nominated party other than the proponent accepts responsibility for implementing the measure(s).

A draft social impact management plan should be presented that promotes an active and ongoing role for impacted communities, local authorities and government agencies through the project life cycle from planning, construction, operations and decommissioning. The draft plan should cover:

- action plans for the implementation of mitigation strategies and measures;
- assignment of accountability and resources;
- reporting mechanism for activities and commitments;
- mechanisms to respond to public enquiries and complaints;
- mechanisms to resolve disputes with stakeholders;
- periodic evaluation of the effectiveness of community engagement processes; and
- practical mechanisms to monitor and adjust mitigation strategies and action plans to achieve best outcomes.

## **4.11 Health and safety**

### **4.11.1 Description of environmental values**

This section describes the existing community values for public health and safety that may be affected by the proposal. Maps should be provided showing the proximity of the project to any potentially affected places of human residence, work or recreation, including, but not necessarily limited to, kindergartens, schools, hospitals, aged care facilities, office buildings, factories and workshops. Projects that could discharge contaminants, even accidentally, into water bodies should identify and describe any downstream extraction for potable use. For projects proposing air emissions, and/or those with the potential to emit odours, nearby and other potentially affected populations should be identified and described. Particular attention should be paid to those sections of the population, such as children and the elderly, who are especially sensitive to environmental health factors.

### **4.11.2 Potential impacts and mitigation measures**

This section defines and describes the objectives and practical measures for protecting or enhancing health and safety community values, describes how nominated quantitative standards and indicators may be achieved for health impacts management, and how the achievement of the objectives will be monitored, audited and managed.

The EIS should assess the effects on the project workforce of occupational health and safety risks and the impacts on the community in terms of health, safety, and quality of life from project operations and emissions. Any impacts on the health and safety of the community, workforce, suppliers and other stakeholders should be detailed in terms of health, safety, quality of life from factors such as air emissions, odour, dust and noise.

The EIS, illustrated by the maps, should discuss how planned discharges from the project could impact on public health in the short and long term, and should include an assessment of the cumulative impacts on public health values caused by the proposal, either in isolation or by combination with other known existing or planned sources of contamination. The assessment should address the potential contamination not only of public water supplies but also of private water sources such as rainwater tanks with roof collection.

The EIS should provide an assessment of the potential extent of contamination and public health risk should an extreme meteorological event, flood, or catastrophic failure cause the release of toxic material from such infrastructure as tailings dams or chemical store. This should include strategies to notify relevant stakeholders during an event.

The EIS should address the project's potential for providing disease vectors. Measures to control mosquito and biting midge breeding should be described, including measures to be used for any residual ponding after mining ceases. Any use of recycled water should be assessed for its potential to cause infection by the transmission of bacteria and/or viruses by contact, dispersion of aerosols, and ingestion (e.g. via use on food crops). Similarly, the use of recycled water should be assessed for its potential to cause harm to health via the food chain due to contaminants such as heavy metals and persistent organic chemicals. Practical monitoring regimes should also be recommended in this section.

## 4.12 Economy

### 4.12.1 Description of environmental values

This section describes the existing economic environment that may be affected by the MGOPD. The character and basis of the local and regional economies should be described including:

- economic viability (including economic base and economic activity, future economic opportunities, current local and regional economic trends, in particular drought and rural downturn etc); and
- historical descriptions of large-scale resource developments and their effects in the region.

The economic impact statement should include estimates of the opportunity cost of the project and the value of ecosystem services provided by natural or modified ecosystems to be disturbed or removed during development.

### 4.12.2 Potential impacts and mitigation measures

The function of this section is to define and describe the objectives and practical measures for protecting or enhancing economic values, to describe how nominated quantitative standards and indicators may be achieved for economic management, and how the achievement of the objectives will be monitored, audited and managed.

An economic analysis, including a cost-benefit analysis, should be presented from national, state, regional and local perspectives as appropriate to the scale of the project. The general economic benefits from the MGOPD should be described.

At a level of detail appropriate to the scale of the project, the analysis is to consider:

- the significance of this proposal on the local and regional economic context;
- the long and short-term beneficial (e.g. job creation) and adverse (e.g. competition with local small business) impacts that are likely to result from the development;
- the potential, if any, for direct equity investment in the project by local businesses or communities;
- the cost to all levels of government of any additional infrastructure provision;
- implications for future development in the locality (including constraints on surrounding land uses and existing industry);
- the potential economic impact of any major hazard identified in section 4.13;
- the distributional effects of the proposal including proposals to mitigate any negative impact on disadvantaged groups;
- the value of lost opportunities or gained opportunities for other economic activities anticipated in the future; and
- impacts on local property values.

Consideration of the impacts of the project in relation to energy self-sufficiency, security of supply and balance of payments benefits may be discussed. Attention should be directed to the long and short-term effects of the project on the land-use of the surrounding area and existing industries, regional income and employment and the state economy. The scope of any studies should be referred to the government for input before undertaking the studies.

For identified impacts to economic values, suggest mitigation and enhancement strategies and facilitate initial negotiations towards acceptance of these strategies. Practical monitoring regimes should also be recommended.

## 4.13 Hazard and risk

This section of the EIS should describe the potential hazards and risk to people and property that may be associated with the MGOPD as distinct from hazards and risk to the natural environment, which should be addressed in other sections of the terms of reference (TOR). When addressing natural hazards, particularly in regard to places where people would work and live (such as a mine's accommodation camp), the EIS should consider the principles of natural hazard management in State Planning Policy 1/03 (SPP1/03), *Mitigating the*

*Adverse Impacts of Flood, Bushfire and Landslide*, even if the development is exempt development under the *Sustainable Planning Act 2009*. SPP1/03 may not be applicable as a statutory instrument for exempt development, but it contains information that guides best practice for all development.

#### **4.13.1 Description of environmental values**

Detail the values related to people and property that could be affected by any hazardous materials and actions associated with the proposal.

#### **4.13.2 Potential impacts and mitigation measures**

This section of the EIS should describe the potential hazards and risk that may be associated with the MGOPD, including consideration of both natural and man-made hazards. This section should also define and describe the objectives and practical measures for protecting people and places from hazards and risk, describe how nominated quantitative standards and indicators may be achieved for hazard and risk management, and how the achievement of the objectives will be monitored, audited and managed.

An analysis is to be conducted into the potential impacts of both natural and induced emergency situations and counter disaster and rescue procedures as a result of the proposal on sensitive areas and resources such as forests, water reserves, State and local Government controlled roads, places of residence and work, and recreational areas. The degree and sensitivity of risk should be detailed. Where a public health risk is identified (such as could arise from the catastrophic failure of, or unplanned discharges from, storages of toxic materials, such as wastes or process chemicals), the proponent should develop contingency plans to treat the risks.

The EIS should provide an inventory for each class of substances listed in the Australian Dangerous Goods Codes to be held on-site. This information should be presented by classes and should contain:

- chemical name;
- concentration in raw material chemicals;
- concentration in operation storage tank;
- U.N. number;
- packaging group;
- correct shipping name; and
- maximum inventory of each substance.

Details should be provided of:

- safeguards proposed on the transport, storage, use, handling and on-site movement of the materials to be stored on-site;
- the capacity and standard of bunds to be provided around the storage tanks for classified dangerous goods and other goods likely to adversely impact upon the environment in the event of an accident; and
- the procedures to prevent spillages, and the emergency plans to manage hazardous situations.

The EIS should assess the risks of catastrophic failure of, or unplanned discharges from, storages of toxic materials, such as wastes or process chemicals. Contingency plans should be developed to treat the risks.

The proponent should develop an integrated risk management plan for the whole of the life of the project including construction, operation and decommissioning phases. The plan should include a preliminary hazard analysis (PHA), conducted in accordance with appropriate guidelines for hazard analysis (e.g. HAZOP Guidelines, NSW Department of Urban Affairs and Planning (DUAP)). The assessment should outline the implications for and the impact on the surrounding land uses, and should involve consultation with Department of Community Safety, Queensland Fire and Rescue Authority, and Queensland Ambulance Service. The preliminary hazard analysis should incorporate:

- all relevant major hazards both technological and natural;
- the possible frequency of potential hazards, accidents, spillages and abnormal events occurring;
- indication of cumulative risk levels to surrounding land uses;

- life of any identified hazards;
- a list of all hazardous substances to be used, stored, processed, produced or transported;
- the rate of usage;
- description of processes, type of the machinery and equipment used;
- potential wildlife hazards such as crocodiles, snakes, and disease vectors; and
- public liability of the State for private infrastructure and visitors on public land.

The integrated risk management plan should include the following components:

- operational hazard analysis;
- regular hazard audits;
- fire safety, emergency;
- response plans;
- qualitative risk assessment; and
- construction safety.

Where relevant, each of these components should be prepared in accordance with the relevant NSW DUAP Hazardous Industry Planning Advisory Paper (HIPAP).

#### **4.14 Cross-reference with the terms of reference**

This section provides a cross reference of the findings of the relevant sections of the EIS, where the potential impacts and mitigation measures associated with the project are described, with the corresponding sections of the TOR.

## 5 Environmental management plan

The environmental management plan (EM plan) should be developed from the mitigation measures detailed in part 4 of the EIS. Its purpose is to state the proponents' environmental protection commitments in a way that allows them to be measured and audited.

The EM plan is an integral part of the EIS, but should be capable of being read as a stand-alone document without reference to other parts of the EIS. For a mining project the EM plan must meet the content requirements of section 203 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1994*. The general contents of the EM plan should comprise:

- the environmental values likely to be affected by the proposed activities;
- the potential adverse and beneficial impacts of the activities on the environmental values;
- the proponents' commitments to acceptable levels of environmental performance, including environmental objectives, i.e. levels of expected environmental harm, performance standards and associated measurable indicators, performance monitoring and reporting;
- impact prevention or mitigation actions to implement the commitments; and
- corrective actions to rectify any deviation from performance standards.

Through the EM plan, the EIS's commitments to environmental performance can be used to develop regulatory controls as conditions to apply to project approvals. Therefore, the EM plan is a relevant document for project approvals, environmental authorities and permits, and may be referenced by them. The EM plan may suggest conditions that will form the basis for developing the draft environmental authority.

## 6 Commitments not included in the EM plan

This section of the EIS should summarise any commitments made by the proponent that are not included in the EM plan (such as a commitment to assist a local council mitigate social impacts). It should be clear how and when the commitments will be fulfilled.

## 7 References

All references consulted should be presented in the EIS in a recognised format.

## 8 Recommended appendices

### A1. Final terms of reference for this EIS

A copy of the final TOR should be included in the EIS. Where it is intended to bind appendices in a separate volume from the main body of the EIS, the TOR at least should be bound with the main body of the EIS for ease of cross-referencing. A summary, cross-referencing specific items of the TOR to the relevant section of the EIS, should also be provided in section 4.15 of the EIS. For this purpose the TOR should be line numbered.

### A2. Development approvals

A list of the development approvals required by the project should be presented.

### A3. Study team

The qualifications and experience of the study team and specialist sub-consultants and expert reviewers should be provided.

### A4. The standard criteria

A brief summary should be presented of the proposal's compatibility with the standard criteria as defined by the *Environmental Protection Act 1994*, which include the principles of ESD and other relevant policy instruments.

With regard to the principles of ESD, as listed in The National Strategy for Ecologically Sustainable Development, published by the Commonwealth Government in December 1992 (available from the Australian Government Publishing Service), each principle should be discussed and conclusions drawn as to how the proposal conforms. A life-of-project perspective should be shown.

## **A5. Consultation report**

The summary Consultation Report appendix for an EIS under the *Environmental Protection Act 1994* should commence by including the details of affected and interested persons, and the statement of planned consultation with those persons, originally provided with the draft terms of reference. It should describe how 'interested' and 'affected persons,' and any 'affected parties' as defined in the EPBC Act, were identified.

A further list should be provided that includes the Commonwealth, state and local government agencies consulted, and the individuals and groups of stakeholders consulted.

The Consultation Report appendix should summarise the results of the community consultation program, providing a summary of the groups and individuals consulted, the issues raised, and the means by which the issues were addressed. The discussion should include the methodology used in the community consultation program including criteria for identifying stakeholders and the communication methods used.

## **A6. Specialist studies**

All reports generated on specialist studies undertaken as part of the EIS are to be included as appendices. These may include:

- geology;
- soil survey and land suitability studies;
- surface hydrology;
- groundwater;
- flora and fauna studies;
- economic studies and cost benefit analysis; and
- hazard and risk studies.


## **A7. Research**

Any proposals for researching alternative environmental management strategies or for obtaining any further necessary information should be outlined in an appendix.

Disclaimer

While this document has been prepared with care, it contains general information and does not profess to offer legal, professional or commercial advice. The Queensland Government accepts no liability for any external decisions or actions taken on the basis of this document. Persons external to DERM should satisfy themselves independently and by consulting their own professional advisors before embarking on any proposed course of action.

**Approved By**



Signature

18 December 2009

Date

Director, Environment and Natural Resource  
Management Division,  
Department of Environment and Resource  
Management,  
400 George Street, Brisbane, QLD 4000

**Enquiries:**  
Ph. 1300 130 372