

# **Queensland Commercial Macropod Management Program**

## Annual Report 2016

Prepared by: Environmental Services and Regulation, Department of Environment and Heritage Protection

© State of Queensland, 2017.

The Queensland Government supports and encourages the dissemination and exchange of its information. The copyright in this publication is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Australia (CC BY) licence.



Under this licence you are free, without having to seek our permission, to use this publication in accordance with the licence terms.

You must keep intact the copyright notice and attribute the State of Queensland as the source of the publication.

For more information on this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au/deed.en>

### **Disclaimer**

This document has been prepared with all due diligence and care, based on the best available information at the time of publication. The department holds no responsibility for any errors or omissions within this document. Any decisions made by other parties based on this document are solely the responsibility of those parties. Information contained in this document is from a number of sources and, as such, does not necessarily represent government or departmental policy.

If you need to access this document in a language other than English, please call the Translating and Interpreting Service (TIS National) on 131 450 and ask them to telephone Library Services on +61 7 3170 5470.

This publication can be made available in an alternative format (e.g. large print or audiotape) on request for people with vision impairment; phone +61 7 3170 5470 or email <library@ehp.qld.gov.au>.

March 2017

# Preface

This annual report summarises the activities of the Commercial Macropod Management Program in Queensland for the period 1 January 2016 to 31 December 2016. In accordance with the Wildlife Trade Management Plan for Export—Commercially Harvested Macropods—2013–2017, the report addresses:

- actual harvest by zone and species compared to quota
- harvest sex ratio, average carcass weights and skin take
- any special quota used
- non-commercial harvest mortality
- compliance statistics
- unusual circumstances
- research and experiments
- program improvements.

For the 2016 harvest period, 1055 commercial wildlife harvesting licences for macropods, commonly known as harvester licences were issued. There were 93 commercial wildlife licences for dead macropods, commonly known as dealer's licences, seven commercial wildlife licences for dead macropods (meat processing) and three commercial wildlife licences for dead macropods (tanning) issued. Data from dealer returns, entered up to 28 February 2017, indicates that there were 896,144 macropods commercially harvested, representing 22.9 % of the overall quota. The harvest was predominantly for carcasses used for both human consumption and pet food.

No quota was exceeded for any species in any zone in 2016. The highest percentage use of quota was for eastern grey kangaroos in the central zone at 31.2%. In all harvest zones, the percentage of the population used for each species was less than 4%.

The commercial harvest is typically biased towards males due to their generally larger size and weight when compared to females. For 2016, the harvest for each species was biased towards males by 95% or greater.

During the 2016 harvest period, the department issued five infringement notices and 52 warning notices for offences relating to the commercial macropod harvest.

# Contents

Preface .....	iii
<b>1. Background.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. Harvest management.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3. Harvest .....</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1 Harvest sex ratio .....	8
3.2 Carcass and skin harvest .....	11
3.3 Average weight .....	13
<b>4. Special quotas .....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>5. The extent of non-commercial harvest mortality.....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>6. Damage mitigation permits .....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>7. Disease outbreak mortality .....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>8. Long-term population, quota and harvest trends.....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>9. Compliance .....</b>	<b>21</b>
9.1 Inspections.....	21
9.2 Compliance and enforcement measures .....	22
<b>10. Unusual circumstances.....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>11. Research and experiments .....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>12. Program improvements.....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>13. References .....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>Appendix 1 .....</b>	<b>24</b>

# 1. Background

The Department of Environment and Heritage Protection (the department) administers the harvest in accordance with the following overarching goal: 'to provide for the sustainable use of macropod species covered by the plan, in accordance with the principles of ecologically sustainable development' (Anon 2013).

There are three main aspects to the program:

- monitoring populations
- setting quotas
- managing the harvest.

Three species can be commercially harvested in Queensland:

- red kangaroo (*Macropus rufus*)
- eastern grey kangaroo (*Macropus giganteus*)
- common wallaroo (*Macropus robustus*).

These commercially harvested species are abundant over a broad area of Queensland and Australia. None of these species are listed as threatened under state or Commonwealth legislation; all are listed as 'least concern' wildlife under the Nature Conservation (Wildlife) Regulation 2006.


The harvesting of these macropods is regulated through the:

- *Nature Conservation Act 1992*
  - Nature Conservation (Administration) Regulation 2006
  - Nature Conservation (Wildlife Management) Regulation 2006
  - Nature Conservation (Wildlife) Regulation 2006
  - Nature Conservation (Macropod) Conservation Plan 2005.
- *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*
- Queensland Wildlife Trade Management Plan for Export—Commercially Harvested Macropods—2013–2017
- *Animal Care and Protection Act 2001*
- *Food Production (Safety) Act 2000*.

Management of the harvest is facilitated via quotas that set the number of animals that can be taken. Quotas are largely based on population estimates derived from annual aerial surveys of the commercially harvested species. Quotas are set for each species for four harvest zones (Figure 1):

- non-harvest zone (quota zero)
- eastern harvest zone
- central harvest zone
- western harvest zone.

Figure 1 – Queensland harvest zones in 2016



Quotas are calculated using a fixed proportion of the estimated macropod populations within the harvest areas. Proportions are adjusted for each species across the harvest zones in relation to the margins of error present in population estimates derived from the aerial surveys. The maximum proportions used for each species are 15% of the populations for eastern grey kangaroos and common wallaroos and 20% of the population for red kangaroos for the central zone. For the eastern and western zones, where survey effort is less extensive when compared to the central zone, the more conservative maximum proportion of 10% is applied for all three species.

These sustainable-use harvest proportions are based on research and modelling undertaken by Caughley et al. (1987) and Hacker et al. (2002) and are currently accepted by the scientific community, state and Australian governments, for determining state quota limits.

This annual report summarises the activities of the Commercial Macropod Management Program for the period 1 January 2016 to 31 December 2016. In accordance with the Wildlife Trade Management Plan for Export—Commercially Harvested Macropods—2013–2017, the report will address:

- actual harvest by zone and species compared to quota
- harvest sex ratio, average carcass weights and skin take
- any special quota used
- non-commercial harvest mortality
- compliance statistics
- unusual circumstances
- research and experiments
- program improvements.

All macropod species are 'protected animals' in Queensland under the Nature Conservation (Wildlife) Regulation 2006. The Nature Conservation (Administration) Regulation 2006 provides for the licensing of a range of activities in relation to the commercial harvesting of macropods in Queensland.

Macropods can only be taken in accordance with the Wildlife Trade Management Plan for Export—Commercially Harvested Macropods—2013–2017 and the Nature Conservation (Macropod) Conservation Plan 2005 under a licence issued by the department.

The harvest is controlled by the use of self-locking numbered plastic tags with a unique colour code for each species and year. The following applies to the use of tags:

- Tags are issued to a specific harvester and are not transferable to any other harvester.
- Tags must be securely attached to the skin of every macropod commercially harvested.
- A tag can only be removed from the macropod skin during the skin tanning process at a licensed tannery.
- The tags are self-locking and tamper-evident.
- The tags are individually numbered and of a different colour for each consecutive year and species.
- A fee (fixed by regulation) is charged for the sale of tags.

Record and return of operations are submitted to the department by harvesters and dealers at regular periods. Harvest statistics from returns are used to monitor and manage the harvest.

## 2. Harvest management

For the 2016 harvest period, 1055 commercial wildlife harvesting licences for macropods, commonly known as harvester licences were issued. There were 93 commercial wildlife licences for dead macropods, commonly known as dealer's licences, seven commercial wildlife licences for dead macropods (meat processing) and three commercial wildlife licences for dead macropods (tanning) issued.

All licences were issued in accordance with legislative requirements and within regulatory timeframes.

Tags were limited to the quota amount for each species in each zone to ensure no over-harvest occurred. The highest number of tags sold as a proportion of quota was 34.4% for eastern grey kangaroos in the central zone. The actual harvest for this species in this zone was 31.2% of available quota. Statistics on the harvest and tag sales are updated monthly and made available to the public via the department's website. This assists the industry to monitor the harvest and tag availability.

**Table 1—Tag sales and harvest**

Tag categories by zone	2016 quotas	Tags sold		Reported harvest	
		Number of tags	% of quota	Number of macropods	% of quota
<b>Central zone</b>					
Eastern grey kangaroo	1,543,750	531,800	34.4%	481,666	31.2%
Red kangaroo	1,259,650	283,800	22.5%	240,857	19.1%
Common wallaroo	469,550	133,550	28.4%	110,699	23.6%
<b>Eastern zone</b>					
Eastern grey kangaroo	511,500	57,750	11.3%	46,881	9.2%
Red kangaroo	17,150	4,800	28.0%	2,360	13.8%
Common wallaroo	55,950	8,900	15.9%	5,120	9.2%
<b>Western zone</b>					
Red kangaroo	59,150	10,000	16.9%	8,561	14.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,916,700</b>	<b>1,030,600</b>	<b>26.3%</b>	<b>896,144</b>	<b>22.9%</b>


To ensure harvesters have fair and equitable access to the finite number of tags available, the program regulates the distribution of tags. This is done by establishing a tag allowance for each harvester and ensuring the tags are being used before further tags are ordered.




### 3. Harvest

The data from dealer returns, entered up to 28 February 2017, indicates that there were 896,144 macropods commercially harvested, representing 22.9% of the overall quota. The commercial harvest of macropods does not occur evenly across the harvest zones. The majority of harvesting occurs in the central harvest zone (Figure 2). Of the 896,144 animals harvested, there were 251,778 red kangaroos, 528,547 eastern grey kangaroos and 115,819 common wallaroos harvested (Figure 3).

**Figure 2—Relative amount of commercial harvesting occurring in each local government area during the 2016 harvest period**




**Figure 3—Total number of macropods harvested in 2016 compared to quotas**



For all three commercially harvested species the percentage of the population harvested in 2016 was only 3.6% of the 2015 estimated population or less (Figure 4). For common wallaroos, 3.1% of the estimated population in the harvest area was harvested, while 3.6% of the estimated population of red kangaroos and 3.4% for eastern grey kangaroos was harvested.

**Figure 4—Percentage of the 2015 estimated population harvested in 2016**



Tables 2–5 contain detailed summaries of the commercial harvest in 2016. Quotas for each species in each zone were not exceeded in 2016. The highest percentage of quota used was for eastern grey kangaroos in the central zone at 25.7%. In all harvest zones the percentage of the population harvested for each species was below 4%.

**Table 2—Total harvest in 2016**

Species	Population estimate 2015	Quota 2016	Harvest take 2016	% quota used 2016	% population harvested 2016
Red kangaroo	7,061,200	1,335,950	251,778	18.8%	3.6%
Eastern grey kangaroo	15,406,700	2,055,250	528,547	25.7%	3.4%
Common wallaroo	3,694,100	525,500	115,819	22.0%	3.1%
Total	26,162,000	3,916,700	896,144	22.9%	3.4%

Note: population estimates are based on aerial surveys conducted in 2015, which were used to set the 2016 quota. Harvest figures are based on data available 28 February 2017.

**Table 3—Harvest of red kangaroos in 2016**

Zone	Population estimate 2015	Quota 2016	Harvest take 2016	% quota utilised 2016	% population harvested 2016
Central	6,298,150	1,259,650	240,857	19.1%	3.8%
Eastern	171,500	17,150	2,360	13.8%	1.4%
Western	591,550	59,150	8,561	14.5%	1.4%
Total	7,061,200	1,335,950	251,778	18.8%	3.6%

Note: population estimates are based on aerial surveys conducted in 2015, which were used to set the 2016 quota. Harvest figures are based on data available 28 February 2017.

**Table 4—Harvest of eastern grey kangaroos in 2016**

Zone	Population estimate 2015	Quota 2016	Harvest take 2016	% quota utilised 2016	% population harvested 2016
Central	10,291,600	1,543,750	481,666	31.2%	4.7%
Eastern	5,115,100	511,500	46,881	9.2%	0.9%
Western	0	0	-	-	0.0%
Total	15,406,700	2,055,250	528,547	25.7%	3.4%

Note: population estimates are based on aerial surveys conducted in 2015, which were used to set the 2016 quota. Harvest figures are based on data available 28 February 2017.

**Table 5—Harvest of common wallaroos in 2016**


Zone	Population estimate 2015	Quota 2016	Harvest take 2016	% quota utilised 2016	% population harvested 2016
Central	3,130,200	469,550	110,699	23.6%	3.5%
Eastern	559,400	55,950	5,120	9.2%	0.9%
Western	4,500	0	-	-	-
Total	3,694,100	525,500	115,819	22.0%	3.1%

Note: population estimates are based on aerial surveys conducted in 2015, which were used to set the 2016 quota. Harvest figures are based on data available 28 February 2017.

### 3.1 Harvest sex ratio


The commercial harvest is typically biased towards males due to their generally larger size and weight when compared to females. For 2016, the harvest for each species was biased towards males by 95% or greater (Figure 5). Females composed less than 4% of the overall harvest.

**Figure 5—Sex ratio of harvested macropods in 2016 for all harvest zones combined**




For red kangaroos, the highest percentage of females harvested was in the central zone at 4.3%. The overall take of females for this species was also 4.3% of the harvest (Figure 6).

**Figure 6—Sex ratio of harvested red kangaroos in 2016**




For eastern grey kangaroos the greatest percentage take of females was 7.8% in the eastern zone. Overall for this species, females comprised 3.7% of the harvest (Figure 7).

**Figure 7—Sex ratio of harvested eastern grey kangaroos in 2016**




For common wallaroos the percentage of the harvest containing females was the lowest amongst the three commercially harvested species at an overall total of less than 0.01%. The highest take for females was only 1 animal from the central zone (Figure 8).

**Figure 8—Sex ratio of harvested common wallaroos in 2016**



The proportion of the commercial harvest comprising females in 2016 was less than 4%. The harvest of female macropods has been decreasing since 2007 (Figure 9).


**Figure 9—Queensland commercial macropod harvest sex ratio trend 1997 to 2016 (percentage of female harvest shown)**




### 3.2 Carcass and skin harvest

The commercial harvest of macropods in Queensland is predominantly for meat products used for human consumption and pet food. The majority of macropod skins utilised for leather and fur products are sourced from harvested carcasses. Less than 5% of the commercial take are harvested for their skins only (figures 10–13). The largest skin only harvest in 2016 was for grey kangaroos in the central zone at 14,116, followed by red kangaroos in the central zone at 8,092.


**Figure 10—Macropod skin and carcass harvest for Queensland in 2016**




**Figure 11—Skin and carcass harvest of red kangaroos in 2016**



**Figure 12—Skin and carcass harvest for eastern grey kangaroos in 2016**



**Figure 13—Skin and carcass harvest for common wallaroos in 2016**







### 3.3 Average weight

The average carcass weights per harvest zone and species are shown in figures 14 to 17. Carcass weights have fluctuated slightly in the past six years in each harvest zone with no significant increases or decreases having occurred in that time. The minimum weight of a fully dressed carcass as defined in the harvest period notice was 13 kilograms (kg) during the 2016 harvest period. A number of dealer sites have established a minimum weight requirement between 16kg and 18kg. This is driven by economic reasons with efficiencies gained in processing heavier carcasses. Regular inspections of dealer sites and monitoring minimum carcass weights ensure the minimum weight requirement is met. Where carcasses are found that breach the minimum weight requirements, both the harvester and dealer may be issued warning or infringement notices and fined.


**Figure 14—Average weight of Queensland male macropod carcasses 2010–2016**




**Figure 15—Average weight of Queensland female macropod carcasses 2010–2016**



**Figure 16—Average carcass weight for male macropods harvested in 2016**



**Figure 17—Average carcass weight for female macropods harvested in 2016**



## 4. Special quotas

A special quota can only be considered once the commercial harvest quota for a particular species has been reached in a harvest zone. Situations where a special quota may be considered include where there is a high macropod population density in a particular area or where adverse weather conditions such as prolonged drought are having a detrimental effect on macropod health. No special quotas were set in 2016.

## 5. The extent of non-commercial harvest mortality

There are many forms of macropod mortality outside of the commercial harvest. It is possible for the department to collect and report data on three forms of non-commercial harvest mortality which can be considered when determining commercial quotas. These are damage mitigation permits (DMPs), licensed recreational harvest and disease outbreak mortality.

## 6. Damage mitigation permits


DMPs are issued by the department where macropods are causing demonstrable damage to primary production. The issuing of these permits is limited to a maximum of 2% of the population estimate for each species. It is a condition of the permit that macropods are taken in accordance with the requirements of the National Code of Practice for the Humane Shooting of Kangaroos and Wallabies for Non-commercial Purposes.

Consistent with the Queensland Government's policy of assisting landholders manage macropods where they are causing loss to agricultural production, the proportion of the estimated population for each species available for DMPs increased from 1% to 2% in 2015 and remained at 2% for 2016. Whilst drought conditions continue across Qld the following arrangements for DMPs remain:


- Lethal DMPs are issued for up to 12 months.
- The need for an on-site inspection has been removed during the current drought declared period.
- EHP's Wildlife Assessment staff have been assigned to deliver a seven-day turn around on macropod DMPs.
- Information has been made available on the department's website to assist landholders to complete applications and understand the DMP process more efficiently.

The total take under this permit system remains below the allowable quota (Figure 18). For comparative purposes, a summary of the macropods taken under DMPs for each species for 2008–2016 is outlined in Figure 19.

**Figure 18—Macropod quota and take for damage mitigation permits in 2016**



**Figure 19—Macropods taken under damage mitigation permits 2008–2016**



## 7. Disease outbreak mortality

During spring of 2016 Wildlife Health Australia received reports of post-rainfall related macropod deaths around Tibooburra in NSW and Longreach in Qld. Biosecurity Queensland responded with property inspections in the Longreach and Thargomindah areas but no significant evidence was found to warrant a full investigation. The last reported event of this kind occurred in 2010 where a full investigation reported only a small area affected.


## 8. Long-term population, quota and harvest trends

Since 1991, the Queensland Government has conducted an annual program of aerial surveys by helicopter to directly monitor populations of the three macropod species covered by the Wildlife Trade Management Plan for Export—Commercially Harvested Macropods—2013–2017. These surveys occur over 22 representative monitor blocks across the state and are utilised to obtain population estimates that inform the quota.


2011 marked the first year that a correction factor of 1.85 was applied to population estimates for common wallaroos in Queensland. Prior to 2011 a conservative correction factor of 1.2 was used for common wallaroos. Current harvesting rates (quotas ranging from 10 to 20% of population estimates) are considered sustainable. None of the three commercially harvested species has shown a consistent decline in abundance since 1992 (Figure 19) which would necessitate a reassessment of the harvest take and species conservation status. Whilst no consistent declines have been observed, the macropod populations in Queensland have fluctuated over time. Of these species, the eastern grey kangaroo is consistently most abundant across the harvest zones, followed by the red kangaroo. Common wallaroos are the lowest even after the new correction factor was introduced in 2011. All three species occur in numbers of over 1,000,000 across the harvest zones.

Figures 20–23 below outline data on the three commercially harvested macropod species pertaining to population, quota and harvest for the years 1992–2016. Harvest data in these graphs is the combined commercial harvest and damage mitigation take. It should be noted that harvest quotas are calculated from population estimates based on aerial surveys conducted in the previous year to the harvest. Combined population estimates, quota and harvest data have been used for the period post-regionalisation to enable comparison with data collated prior to this period. As quotas are set as a constant proportion of the populations, they fluctuate as populations fluctuate, however, numerous factors influence harvest rates for commercial macropods. These include population levels, market forces, environmental conditions and access by harvesters. As a consequence, there is no clear pattern or trend in the proportion of the quota harvested since 1992.


**Figure 20—Estimated macropod populations in the Queensland commercial harvest zones 1992–2016**




**Figure 21—Long-term estimated population ( $\pm$  SE), quota and combined harvest data (commercial harvest + DMPs) for the red kangaroo**  
**Note: Commercial harvest quotas are based on survey estimates from the previous year**



**Figure 22—Long-term estimated population ( $\pm$  SE), quota and combined harvest data (commercial harvest + DMPs) for the eastern grey kangaroo**  
**Note: Commercial harvest quotas are based on survey estimates from the previous year**



**Figure 23—Long-term estimated population ( $\pm$  SE), quota and combined harvest data (commercial harvest + DMPs) for the common wallaroo**  
**Note: Commercial harvest quotas are based on survey estimates from the previous year**





## 9. Compliance

During the 2016 harvest period, inspections of dealer sites, processor sites and harvesters were completed state wide. Overall compliance was considered good. Inspections were targeted towards higher risk sites.

The commercial harvest of macropods in Queensland requires compliance, investigation and enforcement resources. Compliance activities are conducted both infield and through desktop auditing. There are two macropod management officers authorised under the *Nature Conservation Act 1992* within the Macropod Management Unit. The majority of commercial macropod harvest field compliance activities are undertaken by these officers; however the department undertakes collaborative compliance work with wildlife rangers, the Queensland Police Service, and Safe Food Production Queensland (SFPQ).

Other compliance activities are conducted by the macropod management unit including licence audits, harvest return analysis and entry, report compilation and licence application assessment. Licensees are assessed at time of application against suitability criteria. These include accrual of 10 or more demerit points, convictions against the *Nature Conservation Act 1992* or any other matters relevant to the person's ability to carry out the activities authorised by the licence in a competent and ethical way.

Compliance priorities for the 2016 harvest period were:

- Harvesters hold the appropriate licence.
- Ensure macropods are correctly tagged with a valid 2016 harvest period tag.
- Ensure macropods are tagged with the correct species/zone tag.
- Ensure non-head-shot macropods are not traded.
- Ensure compliance with the National Code of Practise for the Humane Shooting of Kangaroos and Wallabies for Commercial Purposes 2008.
- Ensure harvesters produce/carry valid written landholder consent as per licence conditions.
- Ensure timely, complete and accurate harvest returns from dealers.

The integrity of a quota relies upon the premise that tags are not reused or applied to the wrong species or used in the wrong harvest zone. To objectively and adequately demonstrate effective compliance levels, an inspection target of 1% of the overall harvest; with 10% of the sample inspected at a detailed level has been established.

### 9.1 Inspections

The department conducted both programmed and unannounced inspections of harvesters, dealers and processors. During the 2016 harvest period, officers conducted 71 licensed dealer site inspections as well as nine licensed processor site inspections and 34 harvester inspections. Other complaints and evidence of non-compliance were also investigated.

Throughout the harvest period, inspection targets were a minimum of 1% of the harvest being visually inspected and 0.1% of the harvest being inspected in detail. The inspection targets of 1% and 0.1% were met, with 1.4% of the harvest visually inspected and 0.21% of the harvest inspected in detail (Table 6).

All operating processor sites were inspected during the 2016 harvest period.

In addition to planned inspections, compliance officers investigate reports of illegal harvesting to the fullest extent possible.

**Table 6—Inspection targets**

	Inspection target	Inspections conducted
<b>Visual inspection—1% of overall harvest</b>	8,961 – (1%)	12,578- (1.4% of harvest)
<b>Detailed inspection of 0.1% of harvest</b>	896 – (0.1%)	2,179- (0.21% of harvest)

## 9.2 Compliance and enforcement measures

Breaches of legislation are subject to enforcement action such as warning notices, fines, licence cancellation and prosecution.

Enforcement action is taken in accordance with the department's enforcement guidelines. Written warnings or infringement notices are given at the discretion of compliance officers, in accordance with the department's enforcement guidelines and in consultation with the Manager. Decisions on possible prosecutions involve consultation with the Manager and department's litigation division.

During the 2016 harvest period, a total of five infringement notices and 52 warning notices were issued (Table 7). A total of 595 enforcement letters were sent. Details of offences during 2016 are given in Table 8. No licences were cancelled for breaches of legislation during the 2016 harvest period.

EHP officers did not seize any items for breaches of the Act during the 2016 harvest period.

Officers investigated instances of non-head-shot kangaroo carcasses originating in Queensland and reported from processing works located in Queensland and South Australia. These investigations resulted in three infringement notices being issued.

**Table 7—Enforcement measures used**

Warning notice		Infringement notice	
Harvester	Dealer/Processor	Harvester	Dealer/Processor
47	5	5	0

**Table 8—Detail of offences during 2016**

Dealer/Processor	PIN	Warning
Buy or accept macropods tagged in contravention of Act.	0	2
Fail to give return for each period/by prescribed time.	0	3
<b>Harvester</b>		
Fail to comply with condition of authority.	0	14
Fail to comply with conditions of harvest period notice.	3	6
Fail to give return of operations for each month of the harvest period/by prescribed time. CWHL	1	6
Fail to properly attach a tag immediately after macropod is dressed.	0	2
Failure to have record complete, accurate, legible and in ink. CWHL	1	1
Failure to keep record at prescribed place.	0	2
Failure to record relevant particular within prescribed time.	0	8
Failure to show authority or identification without reasonable excuse.	0	3
Keep/use lawfully taken protected wildlife without lawful authority.	0	1
Sell or give away macropod after prescribed time.	0	4
<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>52</b>

## 10. Unusual circumstances

By the end of 2015 90% of the Queensland harvest zones had been drought declared for 2 or more years and 70% of the zones declared for 3 or more years. Some areas of western Queensland had experienced at least 4 years of drought. This situation changed dramatically during 2016 with many parts of the harvest zones recording above average rainfall. Winter was the second wettest on record for the whole of Queensland with several areas of the harvest zones flooded between June and September.

## 11. Research and experiments

The Macropod management unit facilitated and assisted a joint research project undertaken by the Department of Agriculture and the University of Southern Queensland. The project reported to the South West Regional Economic Development Inc and assessed the economic potential of the macropod industry in Queensland.

The macropod management unit also assisted the Kangaroo Industry Association of Australia assess the RooSafe mobile web application. This research is ongoing and expected to continue in 2017.

The department continues to respond to requests for data from researchers and other stakeholders as they arise.

## 12. Program improvements

During 2016, the department implemented a new web based licencing system across all business units called Connect. This project delivers a licencing database with online capabilities for customers. Development and groundwork was undertaken in 2014 and 2015. The Connect system became live throughout 2016 for most business units and will be available for use within the Macropod management unit in early 2017.

## 13. References

Anon. 2008. Wildlife Trade Management Plan for Export – Commercially Harvested Macropods – 2008–2012. Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service; Brisbane.

Bureau of Meteorology 2011 “La Niña” Canberra, viewed February 2011, <[www.bom.gov.au/watl/about-weather-and-climate/australian-climate-influences.shtml?bookmark=lanina](http://www.bom.gov.au/watl/about-weather-and-climate/australian-climate-influences.shtml?bookmark=lanina)>.

Caughley, G., Shepard, N. and G. Short. 1987. Kangaroos, their ecology and management in the sheep rangelands of Australia. Cambridge University Press; Cambridge.

Clancy, T.F., Pople, A.R., and L.A. Gibson. 1997. Comparison of helicopter line transects with walked line transects for estimating densities of kangaroos. *Wildlife Research* 24: 397–409.

Hacker, R, McLeod, S., Druhan, J., Tenhumberg, B. and U. Pradhan. 2002. Managing Kangaroos in the Murray-Darling Basin. Technical Report to the Murray-Darling Basin Commission; Canberra.

Pople, A.R. 2006. Modelling the spatial and temporal dynamics of kangaroo populations for harvest management. Final report to the Department of Environment and Heritage; Canberra.

Pople, A.R. and G.C. Grigg. 1999. Commercial harvesting of Kangaroos in Australia. Report to Environment Australia.

## Appendix 1

### Wildlife Trade Management Plan for Export—Commercially Harvested Macropods—2013–2017, performance indicators

Aim	Action	Performance indicator	Progress in 2015
<b>Aim 1.</b> Manage and administer commercial operators via licensing.	<b>Action 1.1</b> All relevant activities are licensed in accordance with the applicable Queensland legislation and department policy.	<b>1.1.1</b> All licences across Queensland are assessed, processed and issued appropriately in accordance with Queensland legislation.	Achieved.
		<b>1.1.2</b> Databases are maintained to ensure licensee information is current and accurate.	Achieved.
	<b>Action 1.2</b> —Licence conditions are applied where required.	<b>1.2.1.</b> Licence conditions are imposed on licences where required and in accordance with Queensland legislation.	Achieved.
		<b>1.2.2.</b> Information notices explaining conditions and rights of review are provided with all licences with licence conditions.	Achieved.
<b>Aim 2.</b> Monitor macropod populations and set quotas.	<b>Action 2.1.</b> Populations within the commercial harvest zones will be estimated annually based on aerial surveys.	<b>2.1.1.</b> Macropod population estimates are obtained annually via aerial surveys throughout the life of this plan.	Achieved.
	<b>Action 2.2.</b> Commercial macropod harvest quotas will be set in accordance with the provisions of this plan.	<b>2.2.1.</b> All commercial macropod harvest quotas are set in accordance with the provisions of this plan.	Achieved.
		<b>2.2.2.</b> The Commonwealth Government is advised of commercial harvest quotas for the following calendar year by 30 November.	Achieved.
		<b>2.2.3.</b> If Commonwealth approval is required for quotas set above the rates specified in this plan as part of an adaptive management experiment, such approval is obtained before the additional quota is implemented.	NA
		<b>2.2.4.</b> The quota report is made available to the public via the department's website.	Achieved.
	<b>Action 2.3.</b> Special macropod harvest quotas will be set in accordance with the provisions of this plan.	<b>2.3.1.</b> Special macropod harvest quotas are set and utilised in accordance with the provisions of this plan.	NA
	<b>Action 2.4.</b> Macropod populations will be monitored indirectly throughout the life of this plan.	<b>2.4.1.</b> Where a harvest zone showed greater than 40 per cent female harvest, then appropriate management action would be taken.	NA
<b>Action 2.5.</b> Annual population estimates for commercially harvested macropod species will be assessed against predetermined trigger	<b>2.5.1.</b> Where an estimated population for a population estimate region reaches a trigger point of 1.5 standard deviations below the long term average for that region then the harvest quota will be reduced for that region in the next calendar year.	NA	

Aim	Action	Performance indicator	Progress in 2015
	points in each population estimate region.	<b>2.5.2.</b> Where an estimated population for a population estimate region reaches a trigger point of two standard deviations below the long-term average for that region then the harvest quota will be further reduced or suspended for that region in the next calendar year.	Achieved.
<b>Aim 3.</b> Ensure humane treatment of commercially-harvested macropods.	<b>Action 3.1.</b> The department will work with the Southern Queensland Institute of TAFE or other accredited provider to ensure that all potential harvesters are competent to achieve the standards set out in the code of practice before being issued a license.	<b>3.1.1.</b> All successful applicants for harvester's licences have completed the approved training course and the approved shooting course.	Achieved.
		<b>3.1.2.</b> Approved course of training is reviewed and revised if necessary during the life of this plan.	Achieved.
		<b>3.1.3.</b> The code of practice is provided to all new applicants when they receive their licence and is available on the department website.	Achieved.
	<b>Action 3.2.</b> The department will monitor compliance with the code of practice by commercial macropod industry operators.	<b>3.2.1.</b> All licensees who are found to have breached licence conditions in relation to animal welfare are issued with warning notices, PINs or are prosecuted as appropriate.	Achieved.
	<b>Action 3.3.</b> The department will contribute to nationally-focused research in improving animal welfare outcomes, if requested.	<b>3.3.1.</b> Research proposals from universities and other research institutions concerned with the welfare aspects of the commercial harvest of macropods are considered during the life of this plan. Assistance to such research will be provided where appropriate.	Achieved.
<b>Aim 4.</b> Monitor macropod industry compliance.	<b>Action 4.1.</b> The department will undertake both regular and opportunistic monitoring of compliance by commercial macropod industry operators.	<b>4.1.1.</b> A minimum of one per cent of harvested macropods are inspected by departmental staff to ensure compliance with Queensland legislation and licence conditions.	Achieved.
		<b>4.1.2.</b> During the life of this plan all macropod processing works in Queensland are inspected by department staff annually and dealer sites are inspected opportunistically to ensure compliance with Queensland legislation and licence conditions.	Achieved.
		<b>4.1.3.</b> During the life of this plan, harvester's vehicles loaded with macropod carcasses are inspected opportunistically to ensure compliance with Queensland legislation and licence conditions and the results of these inspections are documented.	Achieved.
	<b>Action 4.2.</b> Activities not in accordance with Queensland legislation and Queensland Wildlife Trade Management Plan 2013–17 will be investigated and where an offence has been committed, and it is appropriate, prosecute..	<b>4.2.1.</b> Reports of unlicensed activities and activities in breach of legislation are investigated to the fullest extent possible, and where sufficient evidence is available offenders are issued with warning notices or PINs or prosecuted as appropriate.	Achieved.

Aim	Action	Performance indicator	Progress in 2015
	<b>Action 4.3.</b> The accuracy of industry returns will be continually monitored during the life of this plan.	<b>Performance indicator 4.3.1.</b> During the life of this plan, incoming industry returns are scrutinised and discrepancies are investigated and resolved.	Achieved.
	<b>Action 4.4.</b> A compliance database will be maintained to support investigations, inspections and audits.	<b>Performance indicator 4.4.1.</b> A compliance database of investigations, inspections and audits is maintained.	Achieved.
<b>Aim 5.</b> Undertake program reporting and review.	<b>Action 5.1.</b> An annual report on the Queensland Wildlife Trade Management Plan 2013–17 will be prepared and submitted to the Commonwealth.	<b>5.1.1.</b> An annual report on the operation of the Queensland Wildlife Trade Management Plan 2013–17 for each calendar year is submitted to the Commonwealth Government by the end of March of the following year.	Achieved.
		<b>5.1.2.</b> All annual reports prepared during the life of this plan are available on the department's website.	Achieved.
	<b>Action 5.2.</b> The review of this plan will commence no later than 12 months prior to the expiry of this plan in order to assess the success of the plan in achieving its goal.	<b>5.2.1.</b> The Queensland Wildlife Trade Management Plan 2013–17 will be reviewed no later than 12 months prior to the expiry of this plan.	Achieved.
		<b>5.2.2.</b> The success of the current plan in achieving its goal is assessed by measuring the aims against the performance indicators.	NA
		<b>5.2.3.</b> The results of the plan review are presented to the Commonwealth no later than six months prior to the expiry of this plan.	NA
<b>Aim 6.</b> Facilitate adaptive management and research.	<b>Action 6.1.</b> The department will respond to changes as they arise. Changes made to the management program will be communicated to all relevant stakeholders.	<b>6.1.1.</b> Changes to the macropod management program will be communicated to relevant stakeholders via the department's website and directly to stakeholders where appropriate.	Achieved.
	<b>Action 6.2.</b> The department will facilitate research into the ecology and harvest management of macropods.	<b>6.2.1.</b> Research proposals from universities and other research institutions concerned with the ecological aspects of the commercial harvest of macropods are considered during the life of this plan. Assistance to such research will be provided where appropriate.	Achieved

Aim	Action	Performance indicator	Progress in 2015
<p><b>Aim 7.</b> Promote community awareness and participation.</p>	<p><b>Action 7.1.</b> Relevant public documents will be made available on the department's website.</p>	<p><b>7.1.1.</b> Throughout the life of this plan, the department's website contains the following information as a minimum standard:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– current and previous wildlife trade management plans</li> <li>– monthly tag issue and commercial harvest statistics</li> <li>– historical harvest statistics</li> <li>– population survey reports</li> <li>– current population estimates</li> <li>– current commercial quotas</li> <li>– contact information for the Macropod Management Unit</li> <li>– current forms for commercial macropod licences.</li> </ul>	<p>Achieved.</p>
	<p><b>Action 7.2.</b> Publicly available information will be provided to interested parties on request.</p>	<p><b>7.2.1.</b> Publicly available macropod management information is distributed to interested parties as soon as practicable after such a request.</p>	<p>Achieved.</p>
	<p><b>Action 7.3.</b> Where appropriate, relevant macropod management program staff will participate in media interviews and prepare media releases.</p>	<p><b>7.3.1.</b> Departmental staff participate in interviews with the media where appropriate.</p>	<p>Achieved.</p>
		<p><b>7.3.2.</b> Media releases are prepared when appropriate for issues of interest to the community such as population surveys and the release of the quota for the next calendar year.</p>	<p>Achieved.</p>
	<p><b>Action 7.4.</b> Relevant information regarding licensing arrangements will be developed as required and made available to all licensees.</p>	<p><b>7.4.1.</b> A copy of the current Harvest Period Notice and code of practice is made available to harvesters and dealers throughout the life of this plan to ensure that licensees are aware of relevant licensing requirements and responsibilities.</p>	<p>Achieved.</p>